							2. Award Or Grant Number 39-50-M09039			
	Perform	nanc	e Progress R	Rep	oort		4. Report D	ate (MM/	(DD/YYYY)	
							04-13-201	1		
1. Recipient Name							6. Designat	6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of:		
Ohio Office of Info	rmation Techr	nology	- DAS				State of Oh	State of Ohio		
3. Street Address						8. Final Re	port?	9. Report Frequency		
30 E. Broad Street	t, 39th Floor,						Yes		QuarterlySemi Annual	
5. City, State, Zip C	ode						No		Annual	
Columbus, OH 432	215-6108								Final	
Project / Grant I Start Date: (MM		7a. End	Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	7l	o. eporting Period End Date:		9a. If Other	, please	describe:	
12-20-2009	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		9-2014		3-31-2011		n/a			
10. Broadband	Mapping		10a. Provider Table	<u> </u>						
Number of Providers Identified	Number of	ataata d	Number of Agreement		Number of Partial	Numbe				
0	Providers Co	ilacieu	Reached for Data Sha	aririg	Data Sets Received 0	0	ete Data Sets	0 0	ets vermed	
10b. Are you submit	ting the require	d PRO\	 /IDER DATA by using th	ne Fx	 ccel spreadsheet provid	led by the	SBDD grant	s office?	○Yes	
			h any providers that indi							
			with each of these provi							
			apping agent spoke to r business-to-busines							
the future - Refuse	d to Participat	е					-	_		
			pping agent received Refused to Participate		e-mail from provider	represer	ntative and v	vas notil	fied that they are not	
 GLW Broadband: 			tive indicated that the		not wish to particip	ate in ou	r mapping p	rogram	- Refused to	
Participate Hocking Internet	Technologies	I td· I	Provider representativ	∕e in	idicated they are not	interest	ed in providi	na data	at this time but may	
do so once the cou	nty is 80% co	vered v	will participate - Refus	sed t	to Participate		•	_		
			ping agent received a n and have requested							
			ition to multiple conta							
were made during	this submissio	n perio	od - Non-Responsive	to M	Iultiple Attempts					
• Practical Support, Ltd.: Mapping agent spoke with provider representative who stated they do not wish to participate - Refused to Participate										
• Safe-t.net: Mapping agent spoke with a provider representative stating they will be not sharing data at this time as they are the only										
			d feel their data could			efused to	Participate			
 For more details, please refer to the Q1 Supplemental Answer Document 10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future 										
N/A										
10f. Please describe	the verification	activiti	es you plan to implemen	nt						
ESRI is deploying a	and hosting O	hio's B	roadbandStat (BBSta	t) w						
			anaged environment.		•	ncludes	setting up a	staging	environment for data	

Our vendor also collects consumer feedback in the form of broadband inquiries. These inquiries represent any type of communications received from the public regarding broadband service. Once broadband inquiries are received across the state, this information is overlaid with the broadband availability information which was collected through the SBDD program. This allows for a real-world comparison of the broadband landscape to the information received from broadband inquiries. Broadband inquiries are able to provide

three types of information: 1) residents who do not have broadband but want it; 2) residents who have broadband but want a different provider; and 3) residents who do not have broadband, but the broadband inventory maps indicate that they do. If residents within a region state that they are without broadband, but the broadband inventory maps show otherwise, this allows us to approach the providers within that area in an effort to trim down their coverage to more accurately represent real-world availability on the ground. Before June 30, 2011 our vendor will target 9 additional companies in order to achieve a total field validation rate equal to or exceeding 35.50% (currently at 29.01%). 10g. Have you initiated verification activities? •Yes No 10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities BroadbandStat is publicly available on the Connect Ohio website and received a total of 1,660 visits between January 1, 2011 and March 31, 2011. During this guarter, the Connect Ohio project received a total of 266 broadband inquiries. Additional information received through email from consumers that can also be used for verification purposes totaled 16 for this guarter. Extensive field validation tests were conducted in Ohio on the following providers: Altius Broadband, Amplex Internet, AT&T, Avolve, Buckeve Cablevision Inc., Cavalier Telephone, Celerity Networks, CenturyLink, Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company LLC, CityNet Fiber, Clearwire Corporation, Computers4U, ConnectLink, Country Connections, Dark Horse Wireless, Databit Solutions, Frontier Communications d.b.a. Citizen's Communications, GMN Wireless, g Wireless Inc., Intelliwave, J-B Nets LLC, Just Micro Digital Services Inc., Level 3 Communications, LightSpeed Technologies, MetaLINK, Mikulski Communications LLC, New Era Broadband LLC, New Knoxville Telephone, R.A.A. Services, Sciotowireless, Southern Ohio Communication Services Inc., StratusWave, Talk America Inc., Telephone Service Company, Time Warner Cable Inc., Verizon Communications, W.A.T.C.H. TV, and Wilkshire Wireless. To date, field validation testing has been completed against 38 companies (out of a universe of 131 viable providers) totaling 29.01% within the state. 10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities N/A Staffing 10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project? 6.34 Our vendor has numerous staff working on this project, some at only a small percentage of their time. This approach is beneficial to the project in various ways: some staff provide necessary project support, many staff work together utilizing a team approach, and others are subject matter experts in their respective areas and by leveraging their expertise the project benefits by their knowledge and skills without the necessity of supporting a more expensive full-time resource. 10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? •Yes No 10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed N/A 10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project? 10n. Staffing Table Job Title FTE % Date of Hire Associate Counsel 2 9/14/2009 **Business Programmer Analyst** 4/2/2007 **CAI** Coordinator 2 12/13/2004 CAI Data Analyst 6 3/24/2009

> Performance Progress Report OMB Approval Number: 0660-0034

35

Expiration Date: 12/31/2013

8/9/2010

3/16/2010

CAI Data Manager

Community Technology Specialist

Community Technology Specialist	16	6/26/2008
Community Technology Specialist	11	9/9/2009
Data Validation Intern	85	01/10/2011
ETS Analyst	7	08/03/2009
ETS Analyst	3	11/01/2007
ETS Manager	18	07/01/2007
Executive Director, OH	53	08/04/2008
General Counsel	5	01/01/2007
GIS Analyst	62	05/14/2007
GIS Intern	4	04/01/2010
GIS Services Manager	4	05/15/2007
Outreach & Awareness Manager	4	03/24/2009
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	19	11/22/2010
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	9	01/04/2010
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	5	10/01/2007
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	3	02/02/2009
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	3	01/04/2010
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	1	01/03/2010
Project Coordinator	11	03/09/2009
Project Coordinator	5	04/01/2005
Project Management Director	2	12/20/2004
Project Manager	4	01/14/2008
Project Manager	3	08/20/2007
Project Manager	3	12/16/2009
Project Manager	2	03/16/2010
Project Manager	1	09/04/2007
Project Manager	1	01/14/2008
Provider Relations Manager	8	02/17/2005
Research & GIS Analyst	6	05/14/2007
Research Analyst	12	02/01/2010
Research Analyst	5	06/01/2009
Research Manager	16	05/14/2007
State Services Manager	2	07/01/2007

Technical Outreach Coordinator	96	11/03/2009
Technical Outreach Manager	97	01/18/2010

Add Row

Remove Row

Sub Contracts

10o. Subcontracts Table

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
Connect Ohio	Mapping/Planning	N	Y	12/19/2007	06/30/2011	1,234,662	126,234
Belmont County	Site address data development	N	N	02/28/2011	12/31/2011	50,000	300,000

Add Row

Remove Row

Funding

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter?

\$809,652

10q. How much Remains?

\$6,216,110

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?

\$379,909

10s. How much Remains?

\$1,376,800

10t. Budget Worksheet

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$59,196	\$0	\$59,196	\$1,044	\$0	\$1,044
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$20,718	\$0	\$20,718	\$337	\$0	\$337
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$6,800,572	\$1,756,709	\$8,557,281	\$808,214	\$379,909	\$1,188,123
Subcontract #1	\$6,074,207	\$1,456,709	\$7,530,916	\$808,214	\$379,909	\$1,188,123
Subcontract #2	\$726,365	\$0	\$726,365	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$145,276	\$0	\$145,276	\$57	\$0	\$57
Total Direct Costs	\$7,025,762	\$1,756,709	\$8,782,471	\$809,652	\$379,909	\$1,189,561
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$7,025,762	\$1,756,709	\$8,782,471	\$809,652	\$379,909	\$1,189,561
% Of Total	80	20	100	68	32	100

Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?

10v. If yes, please list

Hardware/software purchases for the project to date include the following:

ArchInfo/ArcGIS Software and Maintenance-\$4,930
Computers-\$7,983 Speed Test Software-\$741
Google Earth Pro - \$974
10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased
Remaining purchases may include SQL server for mapping geodatabase, SQL server software, multiple dedicated storage servers, security application, additional backup replication solution, computers, GPS units BroadbandStat maintenance, ESRI ArchInfo/ArcGIS maintenance, and speed test updates as well as computers and software maintenance.
10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? Yes No
10y. If yes, please list
N/A
10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?
10aa. If yes, please list
The state has been working with several counties to establish partnership agreements that will allow county participation in the development of field verified site-specific address locations through the Ohio Location Based Response System (LBRS), establishing agreements with Belmont, Columbiana, and Meigs Counties to develop Location Based Response System capabilities with deliveries of address data scheduled for the end of 2011.
We are negotiating agreements with Hocking, Noble and Vinton counties, each county has requested a copy of the Memorandum of Agreement for review by their respective County Prosecuting Attorneys. Key to their participation will be the development of a sustainable maintenance solution for each county. To that end the Ohio Valley Regional Development Commission and the Buckeye Valley Regional Planning Commission have agreed to serve in an advisory capacity, and as necessary, to provide maintenance services for each county and to work with the state to develop maintenance tools and application requirements to support the development of an online maintenance capability for all LBRS partners.
10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing
N/A
10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
N/A

11. Broadband Planning

Broadband Stat-\$60,000

- 11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status
- A Program Office was established and staffed which include specific resources that are 100% appropriated to the SBDD program for last-mile assistance.
- Data collection efforts began in January and concluded March 1. 169 service providers were contacted. Data was collected and confirmed. Confirmed data was submitted to CN's GIS department for processing and eventual submission to NTIA in accordance with the April 1 deadline.
- The Engineering and Technical Services team conducted validation and speed testing across various parts of the state over the past quarter. In addition, a Leading Practice objective was met as the Data Validation Intern position was filled. Over the quarter the intern conducted 177 validations across the state including 103 Fixed Wireless validations, 57 DSL, 14 Fiber, 1 Backhaul and 2 Vertical Assets.
- A project plan was created and submitted outlining project milestones, activities and budget drawdowns per quarter for the remainder of the grant.
- Quarterly forum was held, including a breakout session outlining current last mile projects and identifying best practices among disparate community groups.
- The National Broadband Map has been presented, reviewed and discussed with stakeholders.

11b. Please describe any o	challenge or obstacle th	nat you have encoun	tered and detail the	e mitigation strategie	s the project team is	employing
No challenges or obstac	les to report for the 1	1st Quarter of 201	1.			
11c. Does the Project Tea	m anticipate any chang	ges to the project pla	n for Broadband Pl	anning? •Yes	○ No	
11d. If yes, please describe be implemented	e these anticipated cha	inges. Please note t	hat NTIA will need	to approve changes	to the Project Plan b	efore they can
Upon approval of the proscheduled for Q1 2011 a will provide a greater lev groups. In particular, thi part of the National Broapopulation of non-adopte who will be in a better pofunding	and is now funded by rel of analysis regard s revised methodolo dband Planning effo ers in Ohio. This info	y the SBDD Techn ling barriers to tech gy will oversample ort. This approach ormation can then	ical Assistance g nnology adoption non-adopters in will help create a be applied at the	rant for Ohio. This among different s Ohio in a way sim a demographic and local level of deta	s updated and imp ocioeconomic and nilar to that conduct d socioeconomic p il by area planning	roved methodology I demographic Ited by the FCC as rofile of the I teams in Ohio,
11e. How much Federal fu	nding has been expend	ded as of the end of	the last quarter? \$0) 11f.	How much Remains	? \$0
11g. How much matching f					. How much Remains	
11i. Planning Worksheet						
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0

Additional Planning Information

- 11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?
- The Technology Operations Manager and Technology Outreach Coordinator assist communities in need of initial broadband services. Technical assistance includes the development of RFPs, propagation studies, budgetary and business planning, and help in identifying sources of funds, where applicable. Seven projects are currently underway with community task forces engaged.
- Washington County has secured leases on the MARCS towers in order to ensure that the commissioner has control over the countys vertical assets in order for their RFP to be released.
- There has been a transition with the data validation intern. A new intern was trained and is now in place.
- New tool sets have been developed including propagation studies and financial analysis for potential rural Broadband development

 Modifications were made to the contract with the state to include SBDD program elements. Connect Ohio provided support in composing and submitting the first SBDD Detailed Project Plan, Timeline, and Budget (Plan). As of the close of the quarter, the Plan has been accepted and recommendations for changes have been received. Those changes are in process with resubmission pending. 11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing
Trk. Please describe any challenge of obstacle that you have encountered and detail the militigation strategies the Project Team is employing
N/A
11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
N/A

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.						
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)					
Katrina Flory	614-995-5466					
	12d. Email Address					
Administrator	katrina.flory@oit.ohio.gov					
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)					
Submitted Electronically	05-12-2011					