							2. Award Or Grant Number 32-50-M09056			
Performance Progress Report					4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 04-11-2011					
1. Recipient Name Connected Nation	, Inc - Nevada	l					6. Designat Nevada	6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of: Nevada		
3. Street Address 1020 College Street, P.O. Box 3448,						8. Final Re	8. Final Report? 9. Report Yes Semi			
5. City, State, Zip C Bowling Green, K							() No		 Semi Annual Annual Final 	
7. Project / Grant Start Date: (MN 12-20-2009			Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) -2014		o. eporting Period End Date: 3-31-2011		9a. If Other, please describe: N/A			
10. Broadband	Mapping	I	10a. Provider Table	<u>I</u>			- 1			
Number of Providers Identified 0	Number of Providers Co		Number of Agreemen Reached for Data Sha 0			Numb Comp 0	er of lete Data Sets	Numbe Data S 0	er of ets Verified	
10d. If so, describe N/A 10e. If you are colle	the discussions	to date	h any providers that indi with each of these prov r means (e.g. data extra e	riders	s and the current status					
10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement ESRI is deploying and hosting Connected Nation's BroadbandStat (BBStat) web application. ESRI will house the customized BBStat application in their highly available, monitored, and managed environment. The scope of services includes setting up a staging environment for data verification and compatibility as well as a production environment for client access.										
Connected Nation also collects consumer feedback in the form of broadband inquiries. These inquiries represent any type of communications received from the public regarding broadband service. Once broadband inquiries are received across the state, this information is overlaid with the broadband availability information which was collected through the SBDD program. This allows for a real-world comparison of the broadband landscape to the information received from broadband inquiries. Broadband inquiries are able to provide three types of information: 1) residents who do not have broadband but want it; 2) residents who have broadband but want a different provider; and 3) residents who do not have broadband, but the broadband inventory maps indicate that they do. If residents within a region state that they are without broadband, but the broadband inventory maps show otherwise, this allows Connected Nation to approach the providers within that area in an effort to trim down their coverage to more accurately represent real-world availability on the ground.										
Before June 30, 2011 Connected Nation will target 4 additional companies in order to achieve a total field validation rate equal to or exceeding 56% (currently at 49.09%).										
10g. Have you initia 10h. If yes, please o BroadbandStat is p March 31, 2011.	describe the sta	tus of yo		web	site and received a to	otal of 1	189 visits bet	ween Ja	anuary 1, 2011 and	
During this quarter, the Connect Nevada project received 2 e-mails from consumers containing additional information that can be used										

for verification purposes.

Connected Nation's staff conducted extensive field validation tests in Nevada on the following providers: A&J Hardy Enterprises d.b.a. Comnet Computer Services and Peak Internet Services, Arizona Nevada Tower Corporation, AT&T, Baja Broadband LLC, CalNeva Broadband LLC, CC Communications, Charter Communications, Clearwire Corporation, Cox Communications, Great Basin Internet Services, High Speed Networks – Mound House LLC, Highlands Wireless Inc., Hot Spot Broadband Inc., KeyOn Wireless, Leap Wireless d.b.a. Cricket License Company LLC, Moapa Valley Telephone Company, Nextweb (Covad), Oasis Online Inc., Performance Computing Internet, Reliance Connects d.b.a. Rio Virgin Telephone & Cablevision, Satview Broadband Ltd., Schatnet Internet LLC, Sprint, United Cable Management, Vegas Wi-Fi Communications LLC, Verizon Wireless, and Yonder Media. To date, Connected Nation has completed field validation testing against 27 companies (out of a universe of 54 viable providers) totaling 49.09% within the state.

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

N/A

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project? 3.86

Connected Nation has numerous staff working on the Connect Nevada project, some at only a small percentage of their time. This approach is beneficial to the project in various ways: some staff provide necessary project support, many staff work together utilizing a team approach, and others are subject matter experts in their respective areas and by leveraging their expertise the project benefits by their knowledge and skills without the necessity of supporting a more expensive full-time resource.

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? OYes ONo

10I. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

The Connect Nevada positions not currently staffed are related to the new broadband capacity activities and local and regional planning teams that are only just beginning and as such have no impact on the project's time line. The positions are expected to be filled during the second and third quarters of 2011.

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

7.86

Ctaffin a Table

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Associate Counsel	2	09/14/2009
Business Programmer Analyst	1	04/02/2007
CAI Coordinator	4	09/16/2009
CAI Coordinator	2	12/13/2004
CAI Data Analyst	8	03/24/2009
CAI Data Manager	1	08/09/2010
ETS Analyst	48	02/08/2010
ETS Analyst	2	11/01/2007
ETS Analyst	1	08/03/2009
ETS Analyst	1	08/24/2009

ETS Manager	14	07/01/2007
General Counsel	5	01/01/2007
GIS Analyst	53	04/01/2010
GIS Services Manager	5	05/15/2007
Outreach & Awareness Manager	6	03/24/2009
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	13	01/04/2010
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	8	01/04/2010
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	4	10/01/2007
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	4	02/02/2009
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	1	01/03/2010
Project Coordinator	5	04/01/2005
Project Management Director	4	12/20/2004
Project Manager	40	08/20/2007
Project Manager	3	01/14/2008
Project Manager	3	12/16/2009
Project Manager	2	09/01/2006
Project Manager	2	03/16/2010
Project Manager	1	01/01/2007
Project Manager	1	09/04/2007
Project Manager	1	01/14/2008
Provider Relations Manager	8	02/17/2005
Research & GIS Analyst	3	05/14/2007
Research Analyst	9	02/01/2010
Research Analyst	3	06/01/2009
Research Manager	7	05/14/2007
State Services Associate	98	12/20/2009

State Services Manag	er							13	3 07/0 ⁻	1/2007
			,	Remove Row						
Sub Contracts	-					I				
10o. Subcontracts Table										
Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Dat	te E	nd Date Federal Fu		Funds In-Kind Funds		
Throughbred Research Group	Research Surveys	Y	Y	02/09/2010	02/0	02/08/2012 87,350		0		
Contract Labor	Contract Labor	N	Y	12/20/2009	12/	19/2014	477,079		75,649	
						Add F	Row	F	Remove F	Row
	funding has been expend I funds have been expenc				59,368 34,856		How much How much			134,073 333,117
Mapping Budget Elemen	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind		otal Fu		eral ds ided	Matching Funds Expended			Funds ended
Personal Salaries	\$1,225,643	\$272,721	\$1,4	98,364 \$234,424		424	\$78,935		\$31	3,359
Personnel Fringe Benefi	ts \$262,898	\$0	\$26	2,898	\$49,830		\$20,288		\$70),118
Travel	\$260,881	\$0	\$26	0,881	381 \$39,175		\$95		\$39	9,270
Equipment	\$134,194	\$0	\$13	84,194	\$65,652		\$0		\$65	5,652
Materials / Supplies	\$42,431	\$0	\$4	2,431 \$19,814		314	\$0		\$19	9,814
Subcontracts Total	\$802,096	\$75,649	\$87	7,745	\$151,058		\$59,059		\$21	0,117
Subcontract #1	\$265,231	\$0	\$26	65,231 \$43,675		575	\$0		\$43	3,675
Subcontract #2	\$477,079	\$75,649	\$55	2,728 \$		\$95,435 \$59		9	\$15	4,494
Subcontract #3	\$21,104	\$0	\$2	1,104	\$3,490		\$0		\$3,490	
Subcontract #4	\$38,682	\$0	\$3	8,682 \$8,		\$8,458 \$0		\$8,458		,458
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0			\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0	
Other	\$111,699	\$628,571	\$74	0,270	\$9,667		\$23,221		\$32	2,888
	\$2,839,842	\$976,941	\$3,8	16,783	\$569,620		\$181,598		\$75	1,218
Total Direct Costs			¢1.0	44,631	\$289,748		\$53,258		\$343,006	
Total Direct Costs Total Indirect Costs	\$1,153,599	\$91,032	\$1,2	11,001						
	\$1,153,599 \$3,993,441	\$91,032 \$1,067,973		61,414	\$859		\$234,85	6	\$1,0	94,224

Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?

10v. If yes, please list

Hardware/software purchases for the project to date include the following:

BroadbandStat-\$60,000

ArchInfo/ArcGIS Software-\$3,035

Computers & Software-\$3,838

Speed Test Software-\$2,498

Spectrum Analyzer-\$4,477

Google Earth Pro-\$266

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

Remaining purchases may include SQL server for mapping geodatabase, SQL server software, multiple dedicated storage servers, security application, additional backup replication solution, computers, GPS units BroadbandStat maintenance, ArchInfo/ArcGIS maintenance, and speed test updates as well as computers and software maintenance.

10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? (Yes
No

10y. If yes, please list

N/A

10aa. If yes, please list

* Connect Nevada (CNV) submitted the second update to the state broadband map on March 30. The submission included the participation of approximately 94.55% of providers, or 52 of 55 total providers

* Expanded upon and detailed outcomes, milestones, and activities toward successful implementation of the State Broadband Data and Development (SBDD) grant extension projects. The SBDD Detailed Project Plan and Budget was submitted to the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) on February 21

* Created job descriptions for new positions funded through the SBDD grant extension and conducted interviews

* Mapping-related deliverables produced this quarter include statewide and county-level provider density maps, statewide underserved area maps, and multi/single platform maps

* Conducted 48 on-site validation tests during this reporting period. From program initiation through this reporting period, CNV has completed in-the-field validation testing against 27 companies (of 55 viable providers) increasing the overall field validation from 22.22% to 49.09% in the state of Nevada

* CNV Web statistics for this period include 761 visits to the site and 36 speed tests

* Announced the February 17 release of the National Broadband Map via a press release and fielded questions from the provider community

* Maintained the budget/finance cost model as well as the project work plan and work breakdown structure for CNV

* Continued developing and implementing the outreach and awareness strategy

* Work continued toward identifying community anchor institutions and obtaining data

* Business survey research was finalized

* Continued provider outreach efforts and updated the broadband data collection and provider database accordingly

* Produced and distributed monthly status reports, data collection and provider activity logs, and website statistics during regularly scheduled meetings with the Nevada Broadband Task Force

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

The SBDD Detailed Project Plan, Timeline, and Budget was submitted to NTIA initially on February 21. The project team continued with the planning process in preparation for Project Plan approval.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

N/A

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

On behalf of the state of Nevada, Connected Nation (CN) worked with its subcontractors to analyze demand-side data collected through statistical telephone surveys of 800 businesses statewide. Initial data analysis was completed in Q4 2010, and a report containing in-depth survey analysis was provided to the state in Q1 2011. CN designed these surveys to measure technology adoption, barriers to adoption, and awareness of available broadband service among Nevada businesses, and those results were compared to statewide availability figures provided by participating Internet service providers.

In addition, CN wrote the survey instrument for the 2011 Residential Technology Assessment telephone survey, incorporating

recommendations received from state stakeholders where appropriate. These surveys are designed to measure trends in technology adoption, barriers to adoption, and awareness of available broadband service among Nevada residents.

The Connect Nevada initial broadband assessment, released in Q4 2010, continues to serve as an invaluable tool to the Nevada Broadband Task Force, Connect Nevada, and stakeholders across the state. The report continues to be available on the Connect Nevada website and used as a discussion tool to facilitate strategic dialogue in the state. Connect Nevada is cataloging feedback from the report and has begun initial work on an update. The report update is scheduled for release during or after Q3 2011.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

Connected Nation has been working closely with the state of Nevada and the Nevada Broadband Task Force to ensure that our work exceeds expectations. During Q1 2011, there were no significant obstacles during the planning process.

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? ••Yes •• No

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

Based upon the Connect Nevada SBDD Detailed Project Plan, Timeline, and Budget filed with NTIA, the planning activities will be incorporated into the Technical Assistance project. The residential survey update that was scheduled for Q1 2011 has been moved to Q2 2011, to coincide with the proposed non-adopter oversample survey (detailed under section 11j). At the request of the state of Nevada, both of these surveys will be offered in both Spanish and English, whereas in 2010 the residential survey was conducted in English only.

Funding

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter?\$011f. How much Remains?\$0

11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0

11i. Planning Worksheet							
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

Connect Nevada composed and submitted the first SBDD Detailed Project Plan, Timeline, and Budget (Project Plan). As of the close of the quarter, the Project Plan has been accepted and recommendations for changes have been received, processed, and

11h. How much Remains?

\$0

resubmitted for consideration. Upon approval of the Project Plan, Connect Nevada will conduct a survey that oversamples households that do not subscribe to broadband. This second survey will be in addition to the previously scheduled residential survey. This updated and improved methodology will provide a greater level of analysis regarding barriers to technology adoption among different socio-economic and demographic groups by surveying non-adopters in Nevada in a way similar to that conducted by the FCC as part of the National Broadband Planning effort. This approach will help create a demographic and socio-economic profile of the population of non-adopters in Nevada, which can then be applied at the local level of detail by area planning teams, to assist in developing local adoption initiatives that will target the barriers that are unique to that area. In Q1 2011, Connect Nevada developed the analysis methodology and began developing this survey instrument.

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

The SBDD Detailed Project Plan, Timeline, and Budget was submitted to NTIA initially on February 21. The project team continued with the planning process in preparation for Project Plan approval.

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

N/A

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.					
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)				
Bernie Bogle					
	12d. Email Address				
	bbogle@connectednation.org				
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)				
Submitted Electronically	06-16-2011				