	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 2. Award Or Grant Number 78-50-M09055									
Performance Progress Report					4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 10-08-2010					
1. Recipient Name							6. Designate	ed Entity	On Behalf Of:	
Virgin Islands Pub	lic Finance A	uthority					N/A			
3. Street Address							8. Final Rep	8. Final Report? 9. Report Frequency		
32-33 Kongens Ga	ade,						○ Yes		-	
5. City, State, Zip C	ode						Semi Annual O No ○ No		Annual	
St. Thomas, VI 00	802-0000	_							Final	
7. Project / Grant I Start Date: (MM		7a.	Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	8.	Reporting Period End	d Date:	9a. If Other,	please	describe:	
01-01-2010	/UU/TTTT)	12-31-	,	06-	-30-2010		N/A			
10. Broadband	d Mappine									
10a. Provider Table		•								
Number of Providers Identified	Number of Providers Co	ontacted	Number of Agreemer Reached for Data Sh		Number of Partial Data Sets Received	Numbe	er of Number of lete Data Sets Data Sets Verified			
3	3	Jillacieu	3	iaiiig		2	ele Dala Sels	Data .	sets vermed	
		ad DROV	 'IDER DATA by using t	ho Ev			SBDD grants		2 A Vas A No	
•			n any providers that ind				•			
							. ,			
N/A										
10d. If so, describe the discussions to date with each of these providers and the current status N/A 10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future Data from anchor tenants comes from analysis of data received from the IT organizations of the respective sectors in iterative process. GPS readings were taken during on-site verification. The DSL radius of effectiveness was estimated to be 12,000 feet as opposed to using a direct measurement of individual loops for propagation quality. Because there is some lack in data, the extrapolation of the tower hieghts will be calculated trigonometrically, as opposed to direct measurement. 10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement There are 3 verification activities palnned: 1. Direct Inspection- Used for anchor tenant location data and verification of existence 2. Web Survey- Used for verification of broadband coverage (this is a replacement for telemarketing program) 3. Performance Test- Used for measuring the actual broadband performance during periods of congestion vs. advertised performance 10g. Have you initiated verification activities? Yes No 10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities The direct inspection of the anchor tenants is complete and accurate as of the current period. Please note that anchor tenant; locations are subject to change overt time and will be modified accordingly with each succeeding period. The web survey is a replacement to the previously planned telemarketing program. It was determined that a web survey would be a more efficient and readily acceptable to participants. The University of the Virgin Islands (UVI) will still validate the survey technique and perform an analysis of results. The performance tests are being modified to be compatible with the new web-survey. The system works well now, and the process of gathering actual performance d										
N/A Staffing										
Statility										

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project?						
4.25						

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No
10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

4.25

N/A

10n. Staffing Table

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Project Manager	100	04/01/2010
GIS Team Leader/Analyst	100	04/01/2010
GIS Program/System Administrator	100	04/01/2010
Accountant/compliance	25	04/01/2010
		1

Add Row Remove Row

Sub Contracts

10o. Subcontracts Table

Name of Subcontractor		RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
Stratum Broadband	Provide Primary mapping and planning support	Υ	Y	07/28/2009	09/01/2010	\$1,108,353	\$0
					A D		_

Add Row Remove Row

Funding

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$952,998 10q. How much Remains? \$341,856

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$288,144 10s. How much Remains? \$0

10t. Budget Worksheet

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$288,144	\$288,144	\$0	\$288,144	\$288,144
Subcontracts Total	\$834,276	\$0	\$834,276	\$577,998	\$0	\$577,998
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$834,276	\$388,144	\$1,222,420	\$577,998	\$288,144	\$866,142
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$834,276	\$388,144	\$1,222,420	\$577,998	\$288,144	\$866,142
% Of Total	68	32	100	67	33	100

Hardware / Software
10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?
10v. If yes, please list
N/A
10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased The equipment and software are being provided by the applicant and not from the grant as per the final negotiations for the grant. The specific list is as follows: Equipment for mapping: HP Z400 workstation Equipment for website display: HP DL 360 GS Server (3) HP MSA60 StorageWorks Software for mapping: ESRI ArcEditor ESRI ArcEditor ESRI ArcGIS Data Interoperability Single Use License Avensa MAPdataUSA ESET NOD 32 AntiVirus 10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? • Yes No
10y. If yes, please list
The Google Earth and CAD data to be provided as In-Kind contributions from WAPA have all been received and used extensively during the identification and location of anchor tenants, towers, and other points of interest.
10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?
N/A
10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project The indirect benefit of the SBDD program is quite noticeable. Since the program has been underway and more attention has been paid to speed as a measurement, the advertised speeds of the broadband service from last mile providers has seemed to double pretty uniformly. Perhaps this capability was always present, but the additional emphasis on communicating to the public is a step in the right direction.

We anticipate that the USVI will become more competitive relative to broadband. Any steps taken by the NTIA to assure the flow of quality data from the providers to the SBDD program over the coming periods would be very beneficial to the territory.

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

The primary goal of the planning activity has been to establish the process for deploying a comprehensive broadband middle mile network for the USVI. Critical to the success is the application for BTOP funds to support this launch in the region. Therefore, the primary objectives of the Broadband Planning Project are to prepare for the submission of the Round Two CCI proposal and to prepare the public-private partnership that will oversee the operation as deployment and operation proceeds.

The market, technical, and business questions in the actual application guidelines formed the overriding outline of the requirements. Although not available at the beginning of the SBDD program, the plan from the outset was to prepare appropriately and then adjust to develop the required plans.

The first step was to convene meeting with the key stakeholders and providers. The key stakeholders represent all the anchor tenants and they refer to themselves as the USVI Broadband Coalition. This was done prior to even submitting the proposal for SBDD funds. The second step was to convene the Technology Team from recommendations made by members of the two initial groups. Over 100 individuals have been interviewed from all the key groups. From these interviews two separate tracks were formed: one for business matters and one for technical matters. It was primarily from the stakeholders that the market assessment data was synthesized. Secondary research was gathered, but it was the goals and aspirations of the actual users of the system that

most guided the assessment process. The initial findings went directly I to the market section of the BTOP CCI proposal.
Separately members of the Technology Team and the Office of Economic Opportunity began to gather technical data from industry relative to technology that might be used to design a broadband environment for the USVI. 11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing
There have been few challenges or obstacles encountered. Due to the size of the number of people involved, there is the natural problem of getting input from all groups synchronized, but the involvement of the Governor's office and normal program management follow up have produced all the inputs needed for the timelines required.
11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? Yes No
11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented
With the three BTOP proposals launched and the operation of the USVI Broadband Coalition and Technology Team underway, we do not see any changes to the current project plan for broadband planning. These relatively autonomous groups continue to operate and are integral inputs to the Virgin Islands Next Generation Network movement.

Funding

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$375,000 11f. How much Remains? \$85,579

11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$288,144 11h. How much Remains? \$0

11i. Planning Worksheet

Planning Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$460,578	\$0	\$460,578	\$375,000	\$0	\$375,000
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$460,578	\$100,000	\$560,578	\$375,000	\$0	\$375,000
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$460,578	\$100,000	\$560,578	\$375,000	\$0	\$375,000
% Of Total	82	18	100	100	0	100

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

N/A

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

There have been few challenges or obstacles encountered. Due to the size of the number of people involved, there is the natural problem of getting input from all groups synchronized, but the involvement of the Governor's office and normal program management follow up have produced all the inputs needed for the timelines required.

11l. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
The SBDD Planning process was extremely beneficial toward preparing the plans for all the information that was needed for a
balanced Comprehensive Community Infrastructure proposal. The activities and the deliverables have assisted in structuring work in
the public sector that is difficult to achieve without such a process. In retrospect, the results from the efforts go much further than just
the direct goals of the program. The planning and mapping activities are going to be continued as ongoing quality measurements for
the viNGN network beyond the term of the ARRA SBDD program.

40 Contification I continue the heat of any broaded as a sub-like fill at this way of income to the fill of the sub-like fill at this way of income to the fill of the sub-like fill at this way of income to the fill of the sub-like fill at this way of income to the sub-like fill at the sub-like fill at this way of income to the sub-like fill at this way of income to the sub-like fill at the sub-like fill at this way of income to the sub-like fill at the sub-						
12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.						
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)					
Julito Francis	(340) 714-1635					
	12d. Email Address					
	jafrancis@usvipfa.com					
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)					
Submitted Electronically	10-19-2010					
	Performance Progress Report OMB Approval Number: 060-0034 Expiration Date: 09/31/2010					