					2. Award Or Grant Number 27-50-M09043					
Performance Progress Report				4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 01-12-2011						
1. Recipient Name Connected Nation,	Inc MN						6. Designate Minnesota	6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of: Minnesota		
3. Street Address 1020 College Stree							8. Final Rep	port?	 9. Report Frequency Quarterly Semi Annual 	
5. City, State, Zip Co Bowling Green, KY							() No		◯ Annual ◯ Final	
7. Project / Grant P Start Date: (MM/ 12-20-2009		7a. End D 12-19-	Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) -2014	R	b. Reporting Period End Date: 2-31-2010		9a. If Other N/A	, please	describe:	
10. Broadband	Mapping		10a. Provider Table	.			I			
Number of Providers Identified 0	Number of Providers Cor 0		Number of Agreemen Reached for Data Sha 0		-	Number Comple	r of Number of te Data Sets Data Sets Verified 0			
 10c. Have you encountered challenges with any providers that indicate they may refuse to participate in this project? Yes No 10d. If so, describe the discussions to date with each of these providers and the current status Maple Leaf Networks - While attempting to solicit data in accordance with the NOFA and the Clarification, Maple Leaf Networks han ot responded our multiple inquires. We have created 90% of dataset during validation work in the area, provided for approval and still no response. We will continue to attempt to gain Maple Leaf Networks participation in Minnesota's Broadband mapping project 10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the releva activities to be undertaken in the future We have not collected any data through extraction programs. 						Leaf Networks has d for approval and ad mapping project.				
10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement ESRI is deploying and hosting Connected Nation's BroadbandStat (BBStat) web application. ESRI will house the customized BBStat application in their highly-available, monitored, and managed environment. The scope of services includes setting up a staging environment for data verification and compatibility as well as a production environment for client access.										
Connected Nation also collects consumer feedback in the form of broadband inquiries. These inquiries represent any type of communication received from the public regarding broadband service. Once broadband inquiries are received across the state, this information is overlaid with the broadband availability information which was collected through the SBDD program. This allows for a real-world comparison of the broadband landscape to the information received from broadband inquiries. Broadband inquiries are able to provide three types of information: 1) residents who do not have broadband but want it; 2) residents who have broadband but want a different provider; and 3) residents who do not have broadband, but the broadband inventory maps indicate that they do. If residents within a region state that they are without broadband, but the broadband inventory maps show otherwise, this allows Connected Nation to approach the providers within that area in an effort to trim down their coverage to more accurately represent real-world availability on the ground.										
focus on resolving b	During the majority of Q1 2011, Connected Nation will be conducting field validation activities on Paul Bunyon Telephone and will focus on resolving broadband inquiries submitted by consumers who have reported lack of broadband (interested in obtaining service) or whom have reported potential discrepancies in the broadband map.									
10g. Have you initiated verification activities? Yes No 10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities BroadbandStat is publicly available on the Connect Minnesota website and received a total of 468 visits between October 1, 2010 an December 31, 2010.							October 1, 2010 and			

During this quarter, the Connect Minnesota project has received a total of 10 broadband inquiries. Additional information received through e-mail from consumers that can also be used for verification purposes totaled 2 for this quarter.

As of this reporting period, Connected Nation has conducted data validation on 44 out of 120 broadband providers in the state. This represents a field validation test completion rate of 36.67%. One hundred eight-seven tests were completed year-to-date (120 of which were conducted in Q4 alone) in both major metropolitan areas and extremely rural/remote locations areas. In many instances, these testing activities also presented the opportunity to personally meet with representatives from the broadband community and consumers that subscribe to broadband services. Additionally, during this period Connected Nation staff members responded to mapping and broadband inquiries which were generated by local consumers and providers in the state.

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

N/A

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project? 3.47

Connected Nation has numerous staff working on this project, some at only a small percentage of their time. This approach is beneficial to the project in various ways: some staff provide necessary project support, many staff work together utilizing a team approach, and others are subject matter experts in their respective areas and by leveraging their expertise the project benefits by their knowledge and skills without the necessity of supporting a more expensive full-time resource.

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? •Yes ONo

10I. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

N/A

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

3.47

10n. Staffing Table		
Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Associate Counsel	3	09/14/2009
Business Programmer Analyst	1	04/02/2007
CAI Data Analyst	12	03/24/2009
CAI Data Manager	1	08/09/2010
ETS Analyst	3	11/01/2007
ETS Analyst	21	02/08/2010
ETS Analyst	40	07/13/2009
ETS Manager	6	07/01/2007
ETS Senior Analyst	1	02/18/2010
General Counsel	7	01/01/2007
GIS Analyst	55	10/19/2009
GIS Services Manager	4	05/15/2007
Outreach & Awareness Manager	4	03/24/2009
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	1	01/04/2010
Outreach & Awareness Specialist	5	01/04/2010

Outreach & Awareness Outreach & Awareness Project Coordinator Project Management D Project Manager	-									
Project Management D								19	9 (01/03/2010
										04/01/2005
Project Manager	Director							2		12/20/2004
								38	3 (03/16/2010
Project Manager								1		12/16/2009
Project Manager								31	1 (09/04/2007
Project Manager								2	. (08/20/2007
Project Manager								2	. (03/16/2010
Project Manager								4	. (01/14/2008
Provider Relations Ma	nager							7	. (02/17/2005
Provider Relations Spe	ecialist							3	, ·	11/03/2009
Research and GIS Ana	alyst							15	5 (05/14/2007
Research Analyst								1	(06/01/2009
							5	. (02/01/2010	
Research Manager							5 0		05/14/2007	
State Services Manager							17		07/01/2007	
State Services Specialist							22	2	12/01/2009	
Research Analyst								1	(03/22/2010
Sub Contracts 10o. Subcontracts Table		Add Row	,	Remov	/e Rov	V			I	
Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start D	Date	End Date	Federal	Funds	In-	Kind Funds
Throughbred Research Group	Research Surveys	Y	Y	02/09/201	10	02/08/2012	87,350		0	
Contract Labor	Contract Labor	Ν	Y	12/20/200)9	12/19/2014	250,834		181,552	-
ESRI	Hosting	Ν	Y	02/03/201	10	02/02/2012	37,877		0	
Funding Add Row							Remo	ove Row		
•	funding has been expende	ed as of the end	l of the last of	quarter?	\$782,63	5 10a	. How muc	h Rema	ins?	\$3,687,400
	funds have been expend				\$197,76		. How muc			\$920,781
Mapping Budget Element Federal Granted		Proposed In-Kind		Total Budget		Federal Funds Expended		Matching Funds Expended		Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$1,502,448	\$129,840	\$1,6	32,288		198,726	\$34,6	647		\$233,373

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$322,275	\$36,355	\$358,630	\$40,033	\$9,045	\$49,078
Travel	\$152,515	\$0	\$152,515	\$32,717	\$1,497	\$34,214
Equipment	\$145,816	\$0	\$145,816	\$67,811	\$0	\$67,811
Materials / Supplies	\$32,437	\$0	\$32,437	\$15,313	\$0	\$15,313
Subcontracts Total	\$747,164	\$181,552	\$928,716	\$154,821	\$56,162	\$210,983
Subcontract #1	\$437,265	\$0	\$437,265	\$43,927	\$0	\$43,927
Subcontract #2	\$250,834	\$181,552	\$432,386	\$98,848	\$56,162	\$155,010
Subcontract #3	\$21,188	\$0	\$21,188	\$2,988	\$0	\$2,988
Subcontract #4	\$37,877	\$0	\$37,877	\$9,058	\$0	\$9,058
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$91,445	\$657,186	\$748,631	\$3,011	\$75,544	\$78,555
Total Direct Costs	\$2,994,100	\$1,004,933	\$3,999,033	\$512,432	\$176,895	\$689,327
Total Indirect Costs	\$1,475,935	\$113,615	\$1,589,550	\$270,203	\$20,872	\$291,075
Total Costs	\$4,470,035	\$1,118,548	\$5,588,583	\$782,635	\$197,767	\$980,402
% Of Total	80	20	100	80	20	100

Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?

10v. If yes, please list

Hardware/software purchases for the project to date include the following:

- BroadbandStat-\$60,000
- ArchInfo/ArcGIS Software-\$7,811
- Computers-\$223
- Speed Test Software-\$116
- Spectrum Analyzer-\$4,477

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

Remaining purchases may include SQL server for mapping geodatabase, SQL server software, multiple dedicated storage servers, security application, additional backup replication solution, computers, GPS units BroadbandStat maintenance, ArchInfo/ArcGIS maintenance, and speed test updates as well as computers and software maintenance.

10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? (Yes
No

10y. If yes, please list

N/A

10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? • Yes ONo

10aa. If yes, please list

- * Maintained updated budget/finance cost model for Connect Minnesota.
- * Revised, distributed, reviewed, and finalized project work plan and Work Breakdown Structure.
- * Collected broadband inquiries, speed tests, and other analytics with Connect Minnesota website.
- * Directed outreach strategy.
- * Enhanced Connect Minnesota website explaining program and gathering information from consumer community, including Beta

language and Connect Minnesota Residential Technology Assessment Results.

* Held monthly project team meetings.

* Produced monthly status reports, data collection activity log, and website statistics and distributed to the Connect Minnesota project team.

* Compiled and refined Community Anchor Institution (CAI) listings and corresponding information regarding the following: physical address of the CAI, e-mail addresses, connection platforms, connection speed of institutions.

- * Refined broadband provider list.
- * Distributed NDAs to broadband providers.
- * Executed NDAs with provider community.
- * Securely stored executed NDAs.
- * Requested broadband coverage coordinate datasets from provider community.
- * Distributed broadband coverage datasets to GIS mapping team for processing.
- * Conducted demonstrations of the BroadbandStat product.
- * Completed preparation of Minnesota Broadband Analysis Report.
- * Completed first draft of the Business Survey Results revisions.
- * Current number of vendors validated is 44 equating to 35.77% approved vendors in state of Minnesota.
- * Participated in Minnesota Advisory Broadband Taskforce meeting.

*Outreach and awareness team created handout for new state legislatures to increase awareness of the achievements to date of the Connect Minnesota project.

• Updated Minnesota media outreach list to add traditional contacts and include regional technology bloggers.

*In response to the additional State Broadband Data & Development funding extending the grant through December 2014, project plan alterations and expansion commenced.

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

All deadlines have been achieved. There currently have not been any obstacles encountered.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

On September 27, Connect Minnesota received notice of a grant award extending SBDD mapping and planning activities for an additional three years. The project plan has been revised to include these new activities and will be formally submitted to NTIA by the scheduled deadline.

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

On behalf of the state of Minnesota, Connected Nation (CN) is working with its subcontractors to analyze demand-side data collected through statistical telephone surveys of 800 businesses statewide. Quotas were set by industry size and sector to ensure a representative sample of businesses was surveyed, and the results were weighted by industry size and sector to correct for minor variances. Analysis of these business survey results began in Q3 2010. Initial data analysis was completed in Q4 2010, with more indepth survey results scheduled to be provided to the state in Q1 2011. CN designed these surveys to measure technology adoption, barriers to adoption, and awareness of available broadband service among Minnesota businesses, and those results were compared to statewide figures provided by participating Internet service providers.

Connect Minnesota, in coordination with the Minnesota Department of Commerce, completed an initial planning report for the state during Q4 2010. This report, entitled Minnesota Broadband Availability and Adoption Statistics: An Initial Working Report on the Current State of Minnesota's Broadband Landscape, serves as a preliminary assessment of broadband infrastructure and adoption in Minnesota. The purpose of its development and release is to provide a detailed review of the current state of broadband in Minnesota and to spark discussion across multiple broadband stakeholders in the state on key policy and strategies to expand and enhance broadband services. An initial draft of the report was presented for comment to the Minnesota Broadband Task Force during Q4 2010 and will be released publicly within the state during Q1 2011. After public release it will also be available for review and comment on the Connect Minnesota website.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

Connected Nation has been working closely with the state of Minnesota to ensure that our work exceeds expectations. During Q4 2010, there were no significant obstacles during the planning process.

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? • Yes • No

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

Upon approval of the project plan and budget, Connected Nation plans to alter the methodology of the residential survey update that was scheduled for Q1 2011 and is now funded by the SBDD Technical Assistance grant for Minnesota. This updated and improved methodology will provide a greater level of analysis regarding barriers to technology adoption among different socioeconomic and demographic groups. In particular, this revised methodology will oversample non-adopters in Minnesota in a way similar to that conducted by the FCC as part of the National Broadband Planning effort. This approach will help create a demographic and socioeconomic profile of the population of non-adopters in Minnesota. This information can then be applied at the local level of detail by area planning teams in Minnesota, who will be in a better position to develop local adoption initiatives that will target the barriers that are unique to that area.

On September 27, 2010 Connect Minnesota received notice of a grant award extending SBDD mapping and planning activities an additional three years. The project plan is currently under development and being revised to include these new activities. The updated plan will be submitted by February 2011 following the guidelines outlined in the extension granted to all awardees.

\$0

Funding

1

11e. How much Federal funding has been e	expended as of the end of the last quarter?	\$0 11f. How m	nuch Remains?
--	---	----------------	---------------

11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?

11h. How much Remains?

emains? \$0

\$0

11i. Planning Worksheet

11i. Planning Worksheet						
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0
						•

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

No additional information to report at this time.

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

No additional information to report at this time.

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

All planning costs have been combined with the mapping costs and reported in the mapping section above.

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.					
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)				
Bernie Bogle					
	12d. Email Address				
	bbogle@connectednation.org				
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)				
Submitted Electronically	02-21-2011				