						2. Award Or Grant Number 49-50-M09054			
					4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 01-18-2012				
1. Recipient Name							6. Designate	ed Entity	On Behalf Of:
Utah Public Service	e Commission	า					State of Utah		
3. Street Address							8. Final Rep	oort?	9. Report Frequency
Heber Wells Build	ing, Fourth Flo	or, 16	0 East 300 South,				Yes		Quarterly
5. City, State, Zip C	Code						● No		Semi Annual
Salt Lake City, UT									○ Annual○ Final
7. Project / Grant	Period	7a.		71			9a. If Other	, please	<u> </u>
Start Date: (MM	I/DD/YYYY)		Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	l	eporting Period End Date:		n/a		
01-01-2010		12-31	-2014	12	2-31-2011		II/a		
10. Broadband	Mapping		10a. Provider Table						
Number of Providers Identified			Number Comple			er of sets Verified			
0	0		0		0	0		0	
10c. Have you encount of 10d. If so, describe N/A	ountered challen the discussions	iges wit to date gh othe	/IDER DATA by using th h any providers that indi- with each of these provi r means (e.g. data extra e	cate	they may refuse to pars and the current status	rticipate in	this project?	Yes	● No
N/A									
For every data sub for review. The sub	mission by a pomission sumr	orovide nary in	es you plan to implement or, the Project Team concludes the data in FC overify with the Project	onti C fo	ormat, along with a w	ritten sur	nmary, high	nlighting	any issues or
The Project Team	also continues	s to cor	nduct data review ses	sion	ns with broadband pr	oviders w	hen deeme	ed neces	ssary.
reviewing provider map for planning p with this activity. It 10g. Have you initia	websites for a urposes (see is anticipated ited verification	dvertis the exp that the activitie		ping 10c	team is working on cc). Speed test data	the devel will be inc	lopment of a corporated in	a higher	-capacity broadband
10h. If yes, please o			our activities been conducted with the	ho n	naiority of Litabia are	widoro in	oludina ruro		OSI providere to
			ndaries, speeds and t			Muers in	Sidding rura	I ILEC/L	JSL providers to
Submission summa	Submission summaries have been sent to every provider who has submitted data.								
To verify mobile broadband speeds, the Project conducted a drive test in July 2011. During the drive test, a defined set of over 6000 niles of roads across the state were driven while conducting mobile broadband service tests. Data was collected from consumer									

mobile phone devices connected to an industry standard testing platform. This dataset was compared to provider submitted service areas. The Utah Broadband Project has released the entire mobile broadband field test dataset into the public domain, complete with the Test Plan and other documentation. The Test Plan and snapshots of the data are currently available on the SBI Grantee PBworks website. On November 3, 2011, the Project Team also conducted an interactive webinar to present drive testing results to providers

and answer questions regarding the testing method. Representatives from T-Mobile, Verizon, AT&T, Cricket were invited to participate.	, Sprint and	Clearwire
note innea to partopato.		
10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such	n activities	
N/A		
Staffing		
10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project? During Q4 2011 this awarded supported 3.93 FTE. Jobs created include hours worked on the Broadband Practivities: 1) Vendor Engagement Manager: primary project contact and responsible for program and project Project Coordinator/Administrator: assists Engagement Manager in creating weekly and monthly status repo and expense tracking as well as general project coordination duties; and 3) Vendor SME/Computer Consultated administration. Jobs descriptions 1 through 3 were responsible for 17 hours worked or 0.03 FTE for Q4. Two created at the Utah Governor's Office of Economic Development to conduct broadband outreach and planning are responsible for 2.0 FTEs for Q4. Jobs created/retained by the State include hours worked on the Broadbatemployees at the Automated Geographic Reference Center (AGRC) responsible for broadband mapping procoordination with other state agencies and vendors, overseeing the development of a geodatabase to manager from broadband providers, data coordination, data validation, project support, and web application developm AGRC are responsible for 382.75 hours worked or 0.74 FTE for Q4. In addition a team headed by Utah State worked on a determinants of broadband demand study. The efforts of this team resulted in 605 hours worked of these jobs total 3.93 FTE for Q4 for this project. Note: in the staffing table in Section 10n. some job titles column. These employees worked 2 hours or less, which when rounded off, equates to 0. 10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No 10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed. N/A	t managements, responsent: responsent: responsent: responsent responsent responsent responsent responsent responsent responsent. The jobs te University of or 1.16 Finave a "0" in fed	ent; 2) Vendor sible for time sible for web ob have been. These jobs t by those ement, e submissions ibs at the y faculty TE for Q4. All in the FTE%
The Broadband Project is fully staffed at the current time. However in the future the Broadband Project Tea of approximately 6 to 7 FTE jobs during any individual quarter. This number will vary from quarter to quarter planned activities and associated work loads. 10n. Staffing Table		
Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
IRC Subject Matter Expert/Engagement Manager	2	03/01/2010
IRC Project Coordinator	1	03/01/2010
IRC Subject Matter Expert/Computer Consultant	0	03/01/2010
GOED Broadband Mapping/Planning Project Manager	100	04/26/2010
GOED Broadband Mapping/Planning Project Coordinator	100	05/11/2011

01/01/2010 AGRC Director 2 AGRC Project Manager 19 01/01/2010 01/01/2010 AGRC Data Coordinator 36 AGRC Data Coordinator 3 01/01/2010 AGRC Data Coordinator 14 01/01/2010 01/17/2011 AGRC Web Application Developer

AGRC Web Application Developer	0	10/04/2010
Utah State University Project Manager	8	01/24/2011
Utah State University Project Staff	29	01/24/2011
Utah State University Project Support Staff	34	01/24/2011
Determinants of Broadband Demand Study Data Collector	46	06/10/2011

Add Row

Remove Row

Sub Contracts

10o. Subcontracts Table

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
International Research Center (Subcontract #1)	Broadband mapping provider outreach, data collection and data verification activities	Υ	Y	02/11/2010	10/11/2014	620,000	0
Utah Interactive (Subcontract #2)	Broadband project web page development and application integration into the Utah State web site	Y	Y	08/16/2010	12/31/2011	40,000	0
FME/Safe Software Inc. (Subcontract #3)	Data management portal software	Υ	Υ	01/31/2011	01/31/2016	230,000	0
To Be Determined (Subcontract #4)	Local Government Activity Based Contracts for Addressing Project	N	N	04/01/2012	12/31/2014	200,000	0
To Be Determined (Subcontract #5)	Broadband mapping provider outreach, data collection and data verification activities. Award years 3 through 5	N	N	02/01/2012	12/31/2014	600,000	0
To Be Determined (Subcontract #6)	Broadband project web page updating and integration into the Utah State web site. Award years 3 through 5	N	N	03/01/2012	12/31/2014	45,000	0
To Be Determined (Subcontract #7)	Contractor for interactive mapping, updating data portal, and use of crowd sourcing data. Award years 3 through 5.	N	N	03/01/2012	12/31/2014	138,000	0
To Be Determined (Subcontract #8)	Data sets for Wireless Data Verification	N	N	03/01/2012	12/31/2014	33,000	0
To Be Determined (Subcontract #9)	Local Government Activity-based Contracts for addressing project from Supplemental Award	N	N	04/01/2012	12/31/2014	175,000	0
Utah State University (Subcontract #10)	Conduct Determinants of Broadband Demand Study	Υ	Y	01/24/2011	12/31/2014	90,625	0
To Be Determined (Subcontract #11)	Conduct Public Awareness Campaign	N	N	03/01/2012	12/31/2014	230,000	0

Funding

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,493,595

10q. How much Remains?

Add Row

\$3,702,430

Remove Row

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$2,149,254	\$119,895	\$2,269,149	\$773,532	\$72,364	\$845,896
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$223,800	\$6,720	\$230,520	\$68,099	\$0	\$68,099
Travel	\$138,131	\$0	\$138,131	\$11,385	\$0	\$11,385
Equipment	\$2,000	\$0	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$78,625	\$0	\$78,625	\$3,599	\$0	\$3,599
Subcontracts Total	\$2,401,625	\$0	\$2,401,625	\$629,788	\$0	\$629,788
Subcontract #1	\$620,000	\$0	\$620,000	\$493,023	\$0	\$493,023
Subcontract #2	\$40,000	\$0	\$40,000	\$24,265	\$0	\$24,265
Subcontract #3	\$230,000	\$0	\$230,000	\$65,000	\$0	\$65,000
Subcontract #4	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$1,311,625	\$0	\$1,311,625	\$47,500	\$0	\$47,500
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$202,590	\$1,172,392	\$1,374,982	\$7,192	\$696,786	\$703,978
Total Direct Costs	\$5,196,025	\$1,299,007	\$6,495,032	\$1,493,595	\$769,150	\$2,262,745
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$5,196,025	\$1,299,007	\$6,495,032	\$1,493,595	\$769,150	\$2,262,745
% Of Total	80	20	100	66	34	100

Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?	_Yes	No
10v. If yes, please list		

Not during this reporting period, however the Broadband Project previously purchased licence of FME Desktop and FME Server.

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

Annual Software licensing maintenance fees are planned for Safe Software FME Desktop and Server in this and future years. Maintenance fees for the Utah Broadband Project website are also planned for the first quarter of 2012 and in future years.

10y. If yes, please list

One-time copies of Media Print Cable Boundaries and American Roamer were purchased in the Spring of 2010 and used in the initial phases of the project. The Project Team is currently not using commercial data sets, as the data collected by the project is more accurate and current.

10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

No

No

No

In the December 2011 issue of Above Ground Level Magazine, the Utah Broadband Project's wireless data verification drive testing

project was featured in the article "Your Mileage May Be Different", authored by David Maxson of Isotrope, LLP. The article is a detailed synopsis of the three week, 9,328 mile trip completed last July to measure wireless broadband performance throughout Utah.

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

Gathering Community Anchor Institution (CAI) data has been a challenge. There is no standardized and efficient way to collect broadband data for all CAIs. The Project plans to reapproach entities already associated with each CAI category on a statewide level to develop strategies to collect and maintain broadband data. The Project has already started developing an online survey to be sent out to Public Safety CAIs, and will develop similar strategies for other CAI categories. The survey will gather data on broadband subscription, pricing and usage for Public Safety Answering Points (PSAP) in Utah.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
The Project Team has begun working on the development of a higher-capacity broadband map for planning purposes to be used as a
foundation for infrastructure mapping and coordination for broadband buildout. The Project Team is initially focusing on collecting CAI
data from the Utah Department of Transportation, the Utah Department of Technology Services and Utah Education Network, who
leases access from providers to deliver service to schools, libraries and state agencies. Note: Subcontract #3 funds expended is
shown as \$4,333 less than previously reported due to a billing error which was identified and corrected. Also, this report shows the
benefits for employees of the Governor's Office of Economic Development since the grant commenced presented in the personnel
fringe benefits row of 10t. Previous to this report they were included in the Personnel Salaries row of 10t. The ARRA 1512 report will
be updated to reflect the most recent financial information contained in this report, this will result in a difference of only -\$65.77 from
the original ARRA 1512 report.

11. Broadband Planning

- 11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status
- **Implement Phase 1 Survey, Complete Data Analysis, Phase 1 Final Report—complete. The demand survey was initially delayed while Utah State University's Institutional Review Board approved the survey design. The survey and a draft of the final report have been completed and a summary of the report was presented to the Broadband Advisory Council in December. The final report will be posted to the Project website once final edits are made.
- **Meetings with local stakeholders: Travel throughout the state to hold meetings and receive input from local, regional and state decision makers for State Plan and Awareness Campaign—Due December 31, 2011—complete. The Project Team conducted two Broadband Advisory Council Meetings during the quarter. The Project Team also attended the 2012 Rural Telecommunications Congress Annual Conference in Raleigh, NC: a meeting of national University Extension officials in Nashville, TN; the NTIA Broadband Workshop in Cleveland, OH; and worked with local cities to discuss broadband planning issues. The Project Team is using this information to develop the State Broadband Plan.
- **Broadband Committee Report: Committee to report recommendations for state broadband plan—Due December 31, 2011—complete. The Project has compiled a summary report of the minutes of the Utah Broadband Advisory Council Meetings and is maintaining a record of significant issues discussed. The report, which includes summaries of each meeting and recommendations discussed by the Council, has been posted to the Project website at http://utahbroadband.files.wordpress.com/2010/09/click-here.pdf.
- 11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

None at this time

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning?	Yes	○ No
---	-----------------------	------

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

Based upon a review of the award project plan and the results of current studies, the Project Team will contact NTIA during Q1 2012 to discuss changes to the Project Plan. It is anticipated the changes may include: 1) transferring the approved public awareness campaign budget in years 3-5 to fund a "train-the-trainer" program to assist local community leaders and stakeholders in providing training at the local/county level to target non-adopters; 2) transferring a portion of the funds allocated for a mapping contractor to support increased in-house GIS support for broadband planning activities and the development of an interactive broadband map focused on higher-capacity availability for community anchor institutions and for business purposes; and 3) transferring a portion of the funds allocated for the mapping contractor to expand the current address point development program. Based upon the results of discussions with NTIA, the Project Team will prepare and submit proposed modifications to the current budget categories and Project Plan in order to obtain NTIA approval of the proposed changes.

Funding

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0

11f. How much Remains?

\$0

1g. How much matching fu	ınds have been expen	ded as of the end of	last quarter? \$6	0 11h	. How much Remains?	? \$0
1i. Planning Worksheet						
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Γravel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

On October 18, 2011, the Utah Broadband Project, along with members of the Utah Broadband Advisory, testified before the Utah State Legislature's Public Utilities and Technology Interim Committee regarding the work of the Broadband Project and Advisory Council.

The Project Team is also working with the Utah Chief Information Officer, the University of Utah, the Utah Department of Transportation, and the Utah Education Network, to discuss strategies for increasing efficient fiber build out using the anchor tenant model and the convergence of fiber assets for public entities.

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

As mentioned earlier, the Project Team is anticipating making several changes to the Project Plan and will be contacting our NTIA grant officer to address these issues during the first quarter of 2012.

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

The Project Team has recently learned the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) might use the National Broadband Map for making determinations regarding the Connect America Fund. As such, the Project Team is concerned about the funding of broadband data collection, verification, outreach and maintenance activities beyond the current December 31, 2014, award completion date. The Project Team encourages NTIA initiate a discussion of this issue with the FCC and NTIA's state broadband partners.

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.					
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)				
Carol Revelt	(3				
	12d. Email Address				
	crevelt@utah.gov				
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)				
Submitted Electronically	01-26-2012				