Performance Progress Report							2. Award Or Grant Number45-50-M090444. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)01-04-2012		
3. Street Address 1020 College Street, P.O. Box 3448,								8. Final Report? 9. Report Frequence Quarterly	
5. City, State, Zip C Bowling Green, K							No		○ Semi Annual○ Annual○ Final
7. Project / Grant Period 7a. 7b. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) 12-20-2009 12-19-2014 12-31-2011							9a. If Other, please describe: N/A		
10. Broadband	Mapping		10a. Provider Table	•					
Number of Providers Identified 0				_	er of Number of Data Sets Verified 0				
Birch Communications, Inc.: The provider refused to participate during the October 2011 mapping cycle to NTIA. After contact was made on November 3 the provider still refused to participate. Countrywide Wireless: The provider was non-responsive during the October 2011 mapping cycle to NTIA. Thereafter, multiple contact attempts have been made, and as of December 16 the provider remains non-responsive. 10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future									
No data has been collected through extraction or extrapolation.									
10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement Esri is deploying and hosting the BroadbandStat (BBStat) web application. Esri houses the customized BBStat application in their highly available, monitored, and managed environment. The scope of services includes setting up a staging environment for data verification and compatibility as well as a production environment for client access.									
Consumer feedback in the form of broadband inquiries is also collected. These inquiries represent any type of communications received from the public regarding broadband service. Once broadband inquiries are received across the state, this information is overlaid with the broadband availability information which was collected through the State Broadband Initiative (SBI) program. This allows for a real-world comparison of the broadband landscape to the information received from broadband inquiries. Broadband inquiries are able to provide three types of information: 1) residents who do not have broadband but want it; 2) residents who have broadband but want a different provider; and 3) residents who do not have broadband, but the broadband inventory maps indicate that they do. If residents within a region state that they are without broadband, but the broadband inventory maps show otherwise, this allows Connected Nation to approach the providers within that area in an effort to trim down their coverage to more accurately represent real-world availability on the ground.									
Response is continued on the Connect South Carolina Q4 2011 Supplemental Answers Document. 10g. Have you initiated verification activities? Yes No 10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities BroadbandStat is publicly available on the Connect South Carolina website (http://www.connectsc.org) and received a total of 686 visits between October 1, 2011 and December 31, 2011.									

During this quarter, the Connect South Carolina project received a total of 12 broadband inquiries. Additional information received through e-mail from consumers that can also be used for verification purposes totaled 2 for this quarter.

Thus far, project staff has conducted multiple tests on the following providers: ACSinc.net; AT&T; Atlantic Broadband; CenturyLink; Charter Communications; Chester Telephone Company (d.b.a. Fairfield Communications); Clearwire Corporation; Electronics Service Company of Hamlet LLC; Family View Cable; Farmers Telephone Cooperative Inc. (d.b.a. FTC Communications); Frontier Communications of the Carolinas; Globalvision; Home Telephone Company Inc.; Main Street Wireless; NTInet Inc.; Palmetto Rural Telephone (d.b.a. Low Country); Pee Dee Net; Pee Dee Online; PRT Communications; Rock Hill Telephone Company (d.b.a. Comporium Communications and PBT Communications); Sandhill Telephone Cooperative; SkyRunner; Southern Coastal Cable; Sprint; Techcore Consultants II (d.b.a. Almega Cable); Time Warner Cable Inc.; T-Mobile; tw telecom; US Cellular; Verizon South Inc.; and Windstream.

To date, field validation testing has been completed against 32 companies (out of a universe of 48 viable providers) totaling 66.67% within the state.

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

Verification activities have been initiated.

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project? 4.36

Connected Nation has numerous staff working on the Connect South Carolina project, some at only a small percentage of their time. This approach is beneficial to the project in various ways: some staff provide necessary project support, many staff work together utilizing a team approach, and others are subject matter experts (SMEs) in their respective areas. The project benefits by leveraging the SMEs' knowledge and skills, without the necessity of supporting a more expensive full-time resource.

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No

10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

The positions not currently staffed are related to Connect South Carolina's local and regional tech team activities that are only just beginning. The positions are expected to be filled during Q1 and Q2 2012.

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

Connect South Carolina's FTEs reported for the quarter include 1.66 FTEs for temporary, cyclical consultants and a base of 2.70 FTEs that consistently contribute to the project. There are, however, 2 base FTE positions yet to be filled.

This project when fully staffed has a base of 4.70 FTEs.

10n. Staffing Table

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Associate Counsel	1	09/14/2009
Business Programmer Analyst	2	04/02/2007
CAI Coordinator	3	12/13/2004
CAI Data Analyst	7	03/24/2009
ETS Analyst	1	11/01/2007
ETS Analyst	20	08/24/2009
ETS Manager	2	07/01/2007
ETS Sr. Analyst	2	02/18/2010
General Counsel	1	01/01/2007
GIS Analyst	1	11/16/2009
GIS Analyst	14	04/01/2010

Funding					Add R	.UW		Remove Row	
Contract Labor Contract Labor Y Y 12/20/2009 12/19/2014 175,613							100,233		
Throughbred Research Group Research Surveys Y Y 02/09/2010 02/08/2012 167,350								0	
Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Fu	ınds	In-Kind Fund	st
Sub Contracts 10o. Subcontracts Table				T	1		-		
		Add Row		Remove Ro	ow				
State Services Specia	alist						39	9 02/02/200	09
State Services Manag	jer						1	07/01/200	07
Research Manager							4	05/14/200	07
Research Analyst							82	2 02/16/20	10
Research Analyst								2 02/16/20	10
Research Analyst								6 09/19/20	11
Research Analyst								06/01/200	 09
Research Analyst								02/01/20	
Research Analyst								03/22/20	
Research & GIS Analyst								05/14/200	
Project Manager Provider Relations Manager								02/17/200	
Project Manager Project Manager								01/14/200	
							3		
Project Management	Director						3		
Project Management	Director						33		
Project Coordinator Project Coordinator							1 4		
Program Director							6		
Outreach & Awareness Specialist								1 01/03/20	
Outreach & Awareness Specialist								01/04/20	
Outreach & Awarenes							5		
Outreach & Awareness Specialist								10/01/200	
Outreach & Awareness Manager							5	03/24/200	09
GIS Services Manager							1	05/15/200	07
GIS Analyst							42	2 09/17/200	07

10p. How much Federal fund	ling has been exper	nded as of the end o'	\$1,343,547	10q. How much Remains? \$2,636,285					
10r. How much matching fun	ds have been expe	nded as of the end c	of last quarter?	\$404,085	0s. How much Remains?	? \$626,582			
10t. Budget Worksheet									
Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended			
Personal Salaries	\$1,199,434	\$123,752	\$1,323,186	\$340,525	\$49,312	\$389,837			
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$257,278	\$32,004	\$289,282	\$74,022	\$11,622	\$85,644			
Travel	\$157,557	\$0	\$157,557	\$55,438	\$95	\$55,533			
Equipment	\$139,143	\$0	\$139,143	\$71,312	\$0	\$71,312			
Materials / Supplies	\$50,350	\$0	\$50,350	\$19,835	\$0	\$19,835			
Subcontracts Total	\$891,457	\$106,883	\$998,340	\$359,024	\$100,233	\$459,257			
Subcontract #1	\$321,347	\$0	\$321,347	\$167,350	\$0	\$167,350			
Subcontract #2	\$506,111	\$106,883	\$612,994	\$175,613	\$100,233	\$275,846			
Subcontract #3	\$25,316	\$0	\$25,316	\$7,603	\$0	\$7,603			
Subcontract #4	\$38,683	\$0	\$38,683	\$8,458	\$0	\$8,458			
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Other	\$54,298	\$685,883	\$740,181	\$16,756	\$190,257	\$207,013			
Total Direct Costs	\$2,749,517	\$948,522	\$3,698,039	\$936,912	\$351,519	\$1,288,431			
Total Indirect Costs	\$1,230,315	\$82,145	\$1,312,460	\$406,635	\$52,566	\$459,201			
Total Costs	\$3,979,832	\$1,030,667	\$5,010,499	\$1,343,547	\$404,085	\$1,747,632			
% Of Total	79	21	100	77	23	100			

Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application? Yes No

10v. If ves. please list

Hardware/Software purchases for the project to date include the following:

- BroadbandStat- \$60,000
- Computers & Software-\$13,586
- GIS Software Maintenance- \$5,195
- Spectrum Analyzer-\$4,477
- Speed Test Software-\$2,428
- Google Earth Pro- \$266

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

Remaining purchases may include SQL server for mapping geodatabase, SQL server software, multiple dedicated storage servers, security application, additional backup replication solution, computers, GPS units, interactive map upgrades/maintenance, ArcInfo/ ArcGIS maintenance, and speed test updates as well as computers and software maintenance.

10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets?

10y. If yes, please list

Connect South Carolina received a Community Anchor Institution (CIA) connectivity information dataset for a variety of institution types including higher education, state and local governments, public safety and more from the South Carolina Budget and Control Board -Department of State Information Technology as part of an in-kind match contribution to Connect South Carolina with its mapping and planning goals - \$107,548

Connect South Carolina received a Community Anchor Institution (CAI) dataset for schools and libraries from the South Carolina Budget and Control Board - Department of State Information Technology as part of an in-kind match contribution to Connect South Carolina with its mapping and planning goals - \$71,232

10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

No

No

10aa. If ves. please list

During Q4 2011, Connect South Carolina continued gathering data on the location and broadband connectivity of Community Anchor Institutions, in accordance with the data requirements of the SBI Notice of Funds Availability (NOFA) Technical Appendix. Efforts have been focused on conducting outreach and raising awareness of this important project through a statewide campaign.

Connect South Carolina has formed a strong partnership with the South Carolina Division of State Information Technology (DSIT) to gather connectivity data across all CAI sectors within the state that utilize the services of their state network. During this quarter Connect South Carolina continues to process connectivity data from DSIT submitted across all CAI sectors. Additionally Connect South Carolina presented an update to the South Carolina State Library Director's meeting to raise awareness about the importance of this project and the need for libraries to utilize a high speed connection at their facilities.

We continue to utilize our online survey and raise awareness of the importance of CAI broadband connectivity. Connect South Carolina has an ongoing mission to educate CAI throughout the state on the importance of participating in the project.

Response is continued on the Connect South Carolina Q4 2011 Supplemental Answers Document.

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

No challenges or obstacles to report.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project A variety of Connect South Carolina broadband maps were updated to reflect the October 2011 mapping data update and used to further identify unserved and underserved areas and to expand service to unserved households. http://www.connectsc.org/mapping

Connect South Carolina staff presented at the South Carolina Telecommunications Association fall conference in Columbia where attendees were able to share ideas and insights about telecommunications technologies with peers. Connect South Carolina representatives were in attendance in order to underscore the importance of provider participation in the broadband mapping program. http://www.connectsc.org/blog/post/scta-conference-provides-opportunity-explore-usf-reform-and-its-impact-south-carolina

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

Technical Assistance Milestone(s) Year 2 Quarter 4 per approved Project

Analyze 2011 statewide business survey research results - This goal was met. On behalf of the state of South Carolina, Connected Nation (CN) conducted the 2011 Business Technology Assessment telephone survey. In Q4 2011 CN surveyed 808 businesses and released a report to state stakeholders outlining the initial results of this survey.

Finalize and distribute 2011 non-adopter oversample survey results - This goal was met. On behalf of the state of South Carolina, CN publicly released results from the 2011 residential survey research and non-adopter oversample surveys, presenting those results to state stakeholders and making the data available to the public in the form of an interactive web tool.

Finalize and distribute 2011 statewide residential survey research results - This goal was met. On behalf of the state of South Carolina, CN publicly released results from the 2011 residential survey research and non-adopter oversample surveys, presenting those results to state stakeholders and making the data available to the public in the form of an interactive web tool (http://www.connectsc.org/survey-results/residential).

Develop research plan for years 3 through 5 - This goal was partially met. Throughout this quarter, conversations were held between CN staff and state stakeholders regarding the methodology and results of the surveys, with stakeholder input being continually incorporated into research plans for years 3-5. The research plans for years 3-5 are expected to be finalized in Q1 2012.

Peer review of 2011 survey research completed – This goal will be completed in Q1 2012. Connected Nation was not able to finalize the peer review process before the end of Q4 2011. At this time, CN is conducting an ongoing search to identify an appropriate partner for the peer review process.

Response is continued on the Connect South Carolina Q4 2011 Supplemental Answers Document.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing Technical Assistance

CN was not able to finalize the peer review process before the end of Q4 2011. At this time, CN is conducting an ongoing search to identify an appropriate partner for the peer review process.

Local/Regional Technology Planning Teams

Connect South Carolina recruited, issued a formal letter, and negotiated employment terms for a Community Engagement Management Consultant; regretfully, acceptable terms were not able to be met. Recruitment efforts will continue as the community engagement efforts ramp up.

- 11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning?

 •Yes No
- 11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

Connect South Carolina anticipates the completion of the peer review process to take place during Q2 2012. Similarly, recruitment of Community Engagement Management Consultant will occur during Q1 2012.

Funding

runung									
11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0 11f. How much Remains? \$0									
11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0 11h. How much Remains? \$0									
11i. Planning Worksheet	11i. Planning Worksheet								
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Additional Planning Information

- 11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? Local/Regional Technology Planning Teams
- * Presented on the new Universal Service Fund reform for relevant South Carolina parties, consisting of a concise overview of the upcoming changes and how those changes may be felt in South Carolina.
- * Conducted several broadband presentations throughout the state including SC Telecommunication Association, South Carolina IT Solutions Committee, and South Carolina Association of Public Library Administrators.

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing
Nothing further to report.
11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project Technical Assistance
Connect South Carolina released the 2011 Residential Broadband Adoption Survey. This research found approximately 43% of South Carolina residents are not subscribing to broadband at home. Most notably, the majority of low-income, rural, senior, disabled adult, Hispanic, and African-American households are without broadband at home, leaving them facing an uphill battle in keeping up with essential online resources, job and educational opportunities, and social services. http://www.connectsc.org/recent-news/connect-south-carolina-releases-2011-residential-broadband-adoption-survey-results
Connect South Carolina has used data from the Business Technology Survey to develop web, blog, and social media content that illustrates how 27% of South Carolina businesses do not use broadband. This percentage would mean that approximately 29,000 South Carolina business establishments do not use broadband or benefit from the opportunities it offers. http://www.connectsc.org/blog/post/27-south-carolina-businesses-do-not-use-broadband
Connect South Carolina staff presented at the South Carolina Telecommunications Association fall conference in Columbia where attendees were informed that the FCC now places more weight on Community Anchor Institution support as criteria for USF funding. Connect South Carolina's community engagement process will make it easier for USF recipients to meet these requirements. http://www.connectsc.org/blog/post/scta-conference-provides-opportunity-explore-usf-reform-and-its-impact-south-carolina
Response is continued on the Connect South Carolina Q4 2011 Supplemental Answers Document

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.						
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)					
Bernie Bogle	(0.10.11.1)					
	12d. Email Address					
	bbogle@connectednation.org					
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)					
Submitted Electronically	01-30-2012					