U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE							2. Award Or Grant Number 32-50-M09056			
Performance Progress Report						· ·	4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 07-06-2011			
1. Recipient Name							6. Designat	6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of:		
Connected Nation	, Inc - Nevada						Nevada			
3. Street Address							8. Final Re	port?	9. Report Frequency	
1020 College Stre	et, P.O. Box 3	448,					∩ Yes	Yes Quarterly		
5. City, State, Zip C	ode								Semi Annual	
Bowling Green, K	7 42102-3448								○ Annual ○ Final	
7. Project / Grant		7a.		7			9a. If Other	9a. If Other, please describe:		
Start Date: (MN 12-20-2009	I/DD/YYYY)		Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)		eporting Period End Date -30-2011	:	N/A			
		12-19			-30-2011					
10. Broadband	Mapping		10a. Provider Table							
Number of	Number of		Number of Agreement		Number of Partial	Numb		Numbe		
Providers Identified	_		Reached for Data Sha	aring	_		lete Data Sets		Sets Verified	
0	0		0		0	0		0		
-			IDER DATA by using th			-	-			
		-	n any providers that indi				n this project?	Yes	○No	
Tod. II so, describe	ine discussions	to date	with each of these provi	luers	and the current status	Ď				
Avant Wireless LL0	C: Received r	eply fro	om company represer	ntati	ve stating they are r	not intere	ested in parti	cipating		
	cting data throu undertaken in th		r means (e.g. data extra e	ctior	n, extrapolation, etc), p	lease de	scribe your pro	ogress to	date and the relevant	
N/A										
10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement ESRI is deploying and hosting Connected Nation's BroadbandStat (BBStat) web application. ESRI will house the customized BBStat application in their highly available, monitored, and managed environment. The scope of services includes setting up a staging environment for data verification and compatibility as well as a production environment for client access.										
Connected Nation also collects consumer feedback in the form of broadband inquiries. These inquiries represent any type of communications received from the public regarding broadband service. Once broadband inquiries are received across the state, this information is overlaid with the broadband availability information which was collected through the State Broadband Initiatives (SBI) program. This allows for a real-world comparison of the broadband landscape to the information received from broadband inquiries. Broadband inquiries are able to provide three types of information: 1) residents who do not have broadband but want it; 2) residents who have broadband but want a different provider; and 3) residents who do not have broadband, but the broadband inventory maps indicate that they do. If residents within a region state that they are without broadband, but the broadband inventory maps show otherwise, this allows Connected Nation to approach the providers within that area in an effort to trim down their coverage to more accurately represent real-world availability on the ground.										
Before December 31, 2011 Connected Nation will target 4 additional companies in order to achieve a total field validation rate equal to or exceeding 70.69% (currently at 63.79%).										
10g. Have you initiated verification activities? Yes No										
10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities BroadbandStat is publicly available on the Connect Nevada website and received a total of 151 visits between April 1, 2011 and June 30, 2011.										
During this quarter, the Connect Nevada project received a total of 1 broadband inquiry. Additional information received through e-mail										

from consumers that can also be used for verification purposes totaled 1 for this quarter.

For this reporting period, 66 field verification tests were conducted. Connected Nation's staff conducted multiple tests on the following providers: A&J Hardy Enterprises d.b.a. Comnet Computer Services and Peak Internet Services, Arizona Nevada Tower Corporation, AT&T, Baja Broadband LLC, Beehive Telephone Company Inc., CalNeva Broadband LLC, CC Communications, CenturyLink, Charter Communications, Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nevada d.b.a. Frontier Communications of Nevada, Clearwire Corporation, Cox Communications, ETAN Industries d.b.a. Clark Cablevision and CMA Cablevision, Great Basin Internet Services, High Desert Internet Services, High Speed Networks – Mound House LLC, Highlands Wireless Inc., Hot Spot Broadband Inc., KeyOn Wireless, Las Vegas Net, Leap Wireless d.b.a. Cricket License Company LLC, Lincoln County Telephone, Moapa Valley Telephone Company, Mt. Wheeler Power, Nextweb d.b.a. Covad, Oasis Online Inc., Oregon-Idaho Utilities d.b.a. Humboldt Telephone Company, Performance Computing Internet, Reliance Connects d.b.a. Rio Virgin Telephone & Cablevision, Satview Broadband Ltd., Schatnet Internet LLC, Sprint Nextel, United Cable Management, Vegas Wi-Fi Communications LLC, Verizon Wireless, Wells Rural Electric Telephone, and Yonder Media.

To date, Connected Nation has completed field validation testing against 37 companies (out of a universe of 58 viable providers) totaling 63.79% within the state.

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

N/A

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project? 6.70

Connected Nation has numerous staff working on the Connect Nevada project, some at only a small percentage of their time. This approach is beneficial to the project in various ways: some staff provide necessary project support, many staff work together utilizing a team approach, and others are subject matter experts in their respective areas. By leveraging the expertise of the subject matter experts the project benefits from their knowledge and skills without the necessity of supporting a more expensive full-time resource.

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? OYes ONo

10I. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed The positions not currently staffed are related to Connect Nevada's new local/regional technology planning teams project that is only just beginning and as such have no impact on the project's timeline. The positions are expected to be filled during Q3 of 2011.

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

9.70

10n. Staffing Table

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Associate Counsel	3	09/14/2009
Business Programmer Analyst	2	04/02/2007
CAI Coordinator	25	09/16/2009
CAI Coordinator	4	12/13/2004
CAI Data Analyst	10	03/24/2009
CAI Data Manager	2	08/09/2010
ETS Analyst	60	02/08/2010
ETS Analyst	12	07/13/2009
ETS Analyst	11	08/24/2009
ETS Analyst	9	02/18/2010

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	(Y/N)	Executed (Y/N)		End Date	Federal		In-Kind Funds
Sub Contracts 100. Subcontracts Table		RFP Issued	Contract					
		Add Row	,	Remove Ro	w			
State Services Manag	ler						17	07/01/2007
Research Manager					4	05/14/2007		
Research Analyst					2	06/01/2009		
Research Analyst						4	02/01/2010	
Research Analyst						29	02/16/2010	
Research Analyst						96	02/16/2010	
Research Analyst						96	02/16/2010	
Research & GIS Analyst						5	05/14/2007	
Provider Relations Manager						6	02/17/2005	
Project Manager							1	01/14/2008
Project Manager							1	03/16/2010
Project Manager						3	12/16/2009	
Project Manager						7	01/14/2008	
Project Manager							14	09/01/2006
Project Manager	Director						66	08/20/2007
roject Management I	Director						4	12/20/2004
Project Coordinator							2	04/01/2005
Program Coordinator							54	05/16/2011
Dutreach & Awarenes Dutreach & Awarenes	-						6 3	01/03/2010
Dutreach & Awarenes							6	02/02/2009
Outreach & Awarenes							7	10/01/2007
Dutreach & Awarenes	-						8	01/04/2010
Dutreach & Awarenes							6	03/24/2009
GIS Services Manage							3	05/15/2007
GIS Analyst							72	04/01/2010
General Counsel							2	01/01/2007
TS Sr. Analyst							1	02/18/2010
TS Manager							5	07/01/2007
TS Analyst							2	11/01/2007

Thoroughbred Research Group	Research Surveys	Y	Y	02/09/2010	02/08/2012	176,850	0	0	
Contract Labor	Contract Labor	N	Y	12/20/2009	12/19/2014	477,079 7		,649	
					Add	Row F		Remove Row	
Funding									
10p. How much Federal	10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,038,740 10q. How much Remains? \$2,954,						s? \$2,954,701		
10r. How much matching	10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last guarter? \$263,586 10s. How much Remains? \$804,387							s? \$804,387	
10t. Budget Worksheet									
Mapping Budget Elemer	t Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind		Total Federal Budget Expended		Matching Funds Expended		Total Funds Expended	
Personal Salaries	\$1,225,643	\$272,721	\$1,4	\$1,498,364		\$84,777		\$381,554	
Personnel Fringe Benefi	s \$262,898	\$0	\$26	02,898	\$62,601	\$22,014		\$84,615	
Travel	\$260,881	\$0	\$26	\$260,881		\$94		\$54,934	
Equipment	\$134,194	\$0	\$13	\$134,194		\$0		\$65,652	
Materials / Supplies	\$42,431	\$0	\$4	42,431 \$15,093		\$0		\$15,093	
Subcontracts Total	\$802,096	\$75,649	\$87	\$877,745 \$195,0		\$195,630 \$78,791		\$274,421	
Subcontract #1	\$265,231	\$0	\$26	5,231	\$64,221	\$0		\$64,221	
Subcontract #2	\$477,079	\$75,649	\$55	52,728	\$119,461	\$78,791		\$198,252	
Subcontract #3	\$21,104	\$0	\$2	1,104	\$3,490	\$0		\$3,490	
Subcontract #4	\$38,682	\$0	\$3	8,682	\$8,458	\$0		\$8,458	
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	
Construction	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	
Other	\$111,699	\$628,571	\$74	0,270	\$11,754	\$24,652		\$36,406	
Total Direct Costs	\$2,839,842	\$976,941	\$3,8	16,783	\$702,347	\$210,328		\$912,675	
Total Indirect Costs	\$1,153,599	\$91,032	\$1,2	44,631	\$336,393	\$53,258		\$389,651	
Total Costs	\$3,993,441	\$1,067,973	\$5,0	61,414	\$1,038,740	\$263,586		\$1,302,326	
% Of Total	79	21	1	00	80	20		100	

Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application? (Yes No

10v. If yes, please list

Hardware/software purchases for the project to date include the following:

BroadbandStat-\$60,000

Spectrum Analyzer-\$4,477

ArchInfo/ArcGIS Software-\$3,035

Computers & Software-\$3,838

• Speed Test Software-\$2,498

Google Earth Pro-\$266

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

Remaining purchases may include SQL server for mapping geodatabase, SQL server software, multiple dedicated storage servers, security application, additional backup replication solution, computers, GPS units BroadbandStat maintenance, ArchInfo/ArcGIS maintenance, and speed test updates as well as computers and software maintenance.

10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? (Yes (No

10y. If yes, please list

N/A

10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? • Yes No

10aa. If yes, please list

* Connect Nevada (CNV) worked with the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) during the quarter to finalize the State Broadband Initiative (SBI) detailed project plan, timeline, and budget. The plan was approved by NTIA on April 14. * During the quarter 2 2011 meeting of the Nevada Broadband Task Force on June 7, CNV provided a project update, an overview of new maps available on the CNV website, and introduced the broadband planning components of the program including local/regional technology planning teams. A database was developed to log planning team members. Feedback from the Task Force was garnered and next steps were identified.

* Installment of the state broadband Program Coordinator was completed on May 16.

* The state broadband program office was established and populated on May 23 and 24 in the Nevada State Library and Archives building.

* The 2011 non-adopter oversample survey and the 2011 statewide residential survey were created and deployed.

* Planning activities for the community broadband summit 1 were initiated with the Task Force.

* The job description for the Regional Planning Consultant was finalized and recruitment began.

* CNV performed audits of provider records, researched provider mergers and acquisitions, and reviewed FCC documentation on broadband providers.

* The CNV mapping team began downloading and processing 2010 Census Block and road data.

* Efforts continued toward identifying additional Community Anchor Institutions (CAI) and obtaining data for submission to NTIA.

* A CAI newsletter was developed and distributed to statewide contacts and stakeholders to draw attention to the data collection effort and encourage participation.

* The overall provider data validation in Nevada increased from 49.09% of the viable provider population last quarter to 63.79% as of June 30.

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

N/A

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project Updated Connect Nevada maps were published and used to further identify unserved and underserved areas and to help communities plan for expanding service to unserved households (http://connectnv.org/mapping/). Additionally, a video was produced about the field validation process in all Connected Nation state programs (http://youtu.be/tNMEQKHbDls). Lastly, Connect Nevada performed an indepth analysis and field testing in an effort to resolve 17 inquiries for broadband Internet in Genoa, Nevada. Those efforts also included discussions with a nearby wireless provider. As a result of the combined efforts, consumers have subscribed to the wireless service and are now "satisfied" broadband customers.

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

On behalf of the state of Nevada, Connected Nation (CN) finalized the survey instruments for the 2011 Residential Technology Assessment and the non-adopter telephone surveys, incorporating recommendations received from state stakeholders and third-party consultants where appropriate. These surveys are designed to measure trends in technology adoption, barriers to adoption, and awareness of available broadband service among Nevada residents. In Q2 2011 CN started surveying respondents across Nevada for both of these surveys. In addition, Connected Nation created and publicly released a report documenting technology adoption and barriers among businesses across the state.

The Connect Nevada initial broadband assessment, released in Q4 2010, continues to serve as an invaluable tool to the Nevada Broadband Task Force, Connect Nevada, and stakeholders across the state. The report is still being referenced and used as a discussion tool to facilitate strategic dialogue in the state.

The report entitled Nevada Broadband: Preliminary Overview of Broadband Infrastructure & Adoption in Nevada continues to be available on the Connect Nevada website at the following link: http://connectnv.org/_documents/NevadaPlanningReport_screen.pdf.

Connect Nevada is cataloging feedback from the report and has begun initial work on an update. The report update is scheduled for

release after Q3 2011.

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

Connected Nation has been working closely with the state of Nevada and the Nevada Broadband Task Force to ensure that our work exceeds expectations. During Q2 2011, there were no significant obstacles during the planning process.

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? (Yes • No

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

At the request of the state of Nevada, both of the Residential Technology Assessment and the non-adopter survey are offered in both Spanish and English, whereas in 2010 the residential survey was conducted in English only. This change will not require an amendment to the project plan.

\$0

Funding

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0 11f. How much Remains?

11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?

11i. Planning Worksheet **Personal Salaries** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Personnel Fringe Benefits \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Travel \$0 \$0 \$0 Equipment \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Materials / Supplies \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Subcontracts Total \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Subcontract #1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Subcontract #2 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Subcontract #3 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Subcontract #4 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Subcontract #5 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Construction \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **Total Direct Costs** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **Total Indirect Costs** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 **Total Costs** \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 % Of Total 0 0 0 0 0 0

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

N/A

\$0

\$0

11h. How much Remains?

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

N/A

111. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project Connect Nevada released business survey data and launched an interactive webpage providing easy to use information about business insights. Daphne DeLeon, Nevada Broadband Task Force Chair, took part in a national awareness event to share the state leadership perspective of local economic development planning of broadband in businesses. The data shows that approximately 16,000 businesses in Nevada are not using broadband. The survey reveals which economic sectors are lagging behind in broadband adoption as well as the types of platforms they are using, the prices they're paying, and much more. o http://connectnv.org/research/

o http://connectnv.org/_documents/NV_BizAssessment.pdf

o http://connectnv.org/_documents/NV_BizWhitePaper_FINAL.pdf

Connect Nevada distributed a Community Anchor Institution newsletter to create awareness about the Connect Nevada initiative and to encourage these institutions to submit as part of the data collection process (http://connectnv.org/news/?id=tag%3Ablogger.com% 2C1999%3Ablog-3500607592216986829.post-3654325183450920781).

Connect Nevada Facebook (http://www.facebook.com/pages/Connect-Nevada/251892895787) and Twitter (http://twitter.com/#!/ connectnevada) pages were launched.

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.						
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)					
Bernie Bogle						
	12d. Email Address					
	bbogle@connectednation.org					
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)					
Submitted Electronically	07-28-2011					