

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Performance Progress Report

2. Award Or Grant Number

32-50-M09056

4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)

04-03-2012

1. Recipient Name

Connected Nation, Inc - Nevada

6. Designated Entity On Behalf Of:

State of Nevada

3. Street Address

1020 College Street, P.O. Box 3448,

8. Final Report?

Yes

No

9. Report Frequency

Quarterly

Semi Annual

Annual

Final

5. City, State, Zip Code

Bowling Green, KY 42102-3448

7. Project / Grant Period
Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)

12-20-2009

7a. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)

12-19-2014

7b. Reporting Period End Date:

03-31-2012

9a. If Other, please describe:

N/A

10. Broadband Mapping

10a. Provider Table

Number of Providers Identified	Number of Providers Contacted	Number of Agreements Reached for Data Sharing	Number of Partial Data Sets Received	Number of Complete Data Sets	Number of Data Sets Verified
0	0	0	0	0	0

10b. Are you submitting the required PROVIDER DATA by using the Excel spreadsheet provided by the SBDD grants office? Yes No

10c. Have you encountered challenges with any providers that indicate they may refuse to participate in this project? Yes No

10d. If so, describe the discussions to date with each of these providers and the current status

Air-Internet, Inc.: The provider was non-responsive during the April 2012 mapping cycle to NTIA. Multiple contact attempts were made, and as of February 2, 2012, the provider remained non-responsive.

Pyramid Net: On August 5, 2011, a Connect Nevada (CNV) staff member contacted the company requesting information regarding DSL and fixed wireless service offerings. A representative of the company refused to participate and requested that they be removed from CNV's contact list. On October 27, 2011, a CNV staff member reviewed new data on the provider's website and discovered that the provider's maximum advertised speeds for wireless Internet were listed as "up to 640kb down/640kb up depending upon location." Subsequently, the wireless platform was listed as "Provides Service but Below FCC Definition" and an additional attempt was made on November 4, 2011, requesting data for the provider's DSL platform.

10e. If you are collecting data through other means (e.g. data extraction, extrapolation, etc), please describe your progress to date and the relevant activities to be undertaken in the future

No data has been collected through extraction or extrapolation.

10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement

Connect Nevada has deployed an interactive mapping web application. The application is housed in a highly available, monitored, and managed environment. The application includes a staging environment for data verification and compatibility as well as a production environment for client access.

Consumer feedback in the form of broadband inquiries is also collected. These inquiries represent any type of communications received from the public regarding broadband service. Once broadband inquiries are received across the state, this information is overlaid with the broadband availability information which was collected through the State Broadband Initiative (SBI) program. This allows for a real-world comparison of the broadband landscape to the information received from broadband inquiries. Broadband inquiries are able to provide three types of information: 1) residents who do not have broadband but want it; 2) residents who have broadband but want a different provider; and 3) residents who do not have broadband, but the broadband inventory maps indicate that they do. If residents within a region state that they are without broadband, but the broadband inventory maps show otherwise, this allows Connect Nevada to approach the providers within that area in an effort to trim down their coverage to more accurately represent real-world availability on the ground.

As of this report, field validation has been completed on 73.58% of the provider universe. The year-end goal for 2012 (testing a minimum of 60.00% of the viable provider universe) has been achieved. While additional testing is contemplated for 2012, these tests

will supplement previous activities and will focus primarily on providers with a substantial (or statewide) presence and will occur in geographic locations not previously tested.

10g. Have you initiated verification activities? Yes No

10h. If yes, please describe the status of your activities

An interactive broadband map is publicly available on the Connect Nevada website (<http://www.connectnv.org/interactive-map>) and received a total of 169 visits between January 1, 2012 and March 31, 2012. During this quarter, the project received a total of 8 broadband inquiries.

For this reporting period, 7 field verification tests were conducted. Thus far, project staff conducted multiple tests on the following providers: Above All Communications (d.b.a. Express Internet); Air-Internet, Inc.; Arizona Nevada Tower Corporation; AT&T Inc.; Avant Wireless LLC; Baja Broadband LLC; Beehive Telephone Company Inc.; CalNeva Broadband LLC; CC Communications; CenturyLink; Charter Communications; Citizens Telecommunications Company of Nevada (d.b.a. Frontier Communications of Nevada); Clearwire Corporation; Cox Communications; ETAN Industries (d.b.a. Clark Cablevision and CMA Cablevision); EZZnet, Inc.; Great Basin Internet Services; High Desert Internet Services; Highlands Wireless Inc.; Hot Spot Broadband Inc.; InfoWest (d.b.a. A & J Hardy Enterprises, Inc., Comnett Computer Services, and Peak Internet Services); KeyOn Wireless (also formerly Wells Rural Electric Telephone); Las Vegas Net; Leap Wireless (d.b.a. Cricket License Company LLC); Lincoln County Telephone; Moapa Valley Telephone Company; Mt. Wheeler Power; Oasis Online Inc.; Performance Computing Internet; Reliance Connects (d.b.a. Rio Virgin Telephone & Cablevision); Robinson Communication Corporation (d.b.a. Oregon-Idaho Utilities, Inc. and Humboldt Telephone Company); Schatnet Internet LLC; Sprint Nextel; TelePacific Communications (d.b.a. Nextweb and Covad); T-Mobile USA Inc.; Vegas Wi-Fi Communications LLC; Verizon Wireless; WNER Corporation (f.k.a. Satview Broadband, Ltd.); and Yonder Media.

(For more details, please refer to the Nevada Q1 2012 Supplemental Answers document.)

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

N/A

Staffing

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project?

7.49

Connect Nevada's FTEs reported for the quarter include 4.88 FTEs for staff, 2.56 FTEs for permanent consultants, and 0.05 FTEs for temporary, cyclical contractors. The project is fully staffed with a base of 7.44 FTEs.

Connected Nation has numerous staff, consultants, and contractors working on the Connect Nevada project, some at only a small percentage of their time. This approach is beneficial to the project in various ways: some staff provide necessary project support, many staff work together utilizing a team approach, and others are subject matter experts in their respective areas, and by leveraging their expertise the project benefits by their knowledge and skills without the necessity of supporting a more expensive full-time resource.

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No

10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

N/A

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

The project is fully staffed with a base of 7.44 FTEs.

10n. Staffing Table

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Connected Nation - Business Programmer Analyst	1	04/02/2007
Connected Nation - CAI Coordinator	5	12/13/2004
Connected Nation - CAI Coordinator	46	09/16/2009
Connected Nation - CAI Data Analyst	2	03/24/2009

Connected Nation - CAI Data Manager	2	08/09/2010
Connected Nation - Compliance Coordinator	5	08/01/2008
Connected Nation - Director Program Support	1	12/01/2004
Connected Nation - ETS Analyst	1	07/13/2009
Connected Nation - ETS Analyst	2	07/13/2009
Connected Nation - ETS Analyst	4	12/01/2009
Connected Nation - ETS Manager	7	07/01/2007
Connected Nation - GIS Analyst	1	05/14/2007
Connected Nation - GIS Analyst	4	04/01/2010
Connected Nation - GIS Analyst	4	11/16/2009
Connected Nation - GIS Analyst	77	04/01/2010
Connected Nation - GIS Services Manager	3	05/15/2007
Connected Nation - Grants Management Officer	6	02/24/2003
Connected Nation - Outreach & Awareness Manager	6	03/24/2009
Connected Nation - Outreach & Awareness Specialist	9	02/02/2009
Connected Nation - Outreach & Awareness Specialist	10	10/01/2007
Connected Nation - Outreach & Awareness Specialist	12	01/04/2010
Connected Nation - Outreach & Awareness Specialist	22	01/04/2010
Connected Nation - Program Director	10	08/04/2008
Connected Nation - Program Manager	96	05/16/2011
Connected Nation - Project Coordinator	1	11/16/2010
Connected Nation - Project Coordinator	5	04/01/2005
Connected Nation - Project Management Director	8	12/16/2009
Connected Nation - Project Manager	1	03/16/2010
Connected Nation - Project Manager	1	01/14/2008
Connected Nation - Project Manager	4	09/04/2007

Connected Nation - Project Manager	4	01/14/2008
Connected Nation - Project Manager	6	09/01/2006
Connected Nation - Project Manager	49	08/20/2007
Connected Nation - Provider Relations Manager	10	02/17/2005
Connected Nation - Research Analyst	1	06/01/2009
Connected Nation - Research Analyst	4	02/01/2010
Connected Nation - Research Analyst	42	10/17/2011
Connected Nation - Research Manager	11	05/14/2007
Connected Nation - State Services Manager	4	07/01/2007
Connected Nation - State Services Sr. Specialist	1	12/20/2009
Consultant - Associate Counsel	1	09/14/2009
Consultant - Community Tech Team Specialist	92	01/06/2012
Consultant - Community Tech Team Specialist	100	10/17/2011
Consultant - ETS Analyst	63	02/08/2010
GISbiz, Inc. - Programmer	3	02/27/2012
GISbiz, Inc. - Systems Architect	2	02/27/2012

Add Row

Remove Row

Sub Contracts

10o. Subcontracts Table

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
Thoroughbred Research Group	Research Surveys	Y	Y	02/09/2010	02/08/2012	176,850	0
Contract Labor	Contract Labor	N	Y	12/20/2009	12/19/2014	209,055	161,088

Add Row

Remove Row

Funding

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,858,679 10q. How much Remains? \$2,134,762

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$379,337 10s. How much Remains? \$688,636

10t. Budget Worksheet

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$1,225,643	\$272,721	\$1,498,364	\$526,933	\$745	\$527,678

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$262,898	\$0	\$262,898	\$114,483	\$160	\$114,643
Travel	\$260,881	\$0	\$260,881	\$82,071	\$582	\$82,653
Equipment	\$134,194	\$0	\$134,194	\$72,093	\$0	\$72,093
Materials / Supplies	\$42,431	\$0	\$42,431	\$25,063	\$0	\$25,063
Subcontracts Total	\$802,096	\$75,649	\$877,745	\$403,345	\$161,088	\$564,433
Subcontract #1	\$265,231	\$0	\$265,231	\$176,850	\$0	\$176,850
Subcontract #2	\$477,079	\$75,649	\$552,728	\$209,055	\$161,088	\$370,143
Subcontract #3	\$21,104	\$0	\$21,104	\$7,602	\$0	\$7,602
Subcontract #4	\$38,682	\$0	\$38,682	\$9,838	\$0	\$9,838
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$111,699	\$628,571	\$740,270	\$38,807	\$215,737	\$254,544
Total Direct Costs	\$2,839,842	\$976,941	\$3,816,783	\$1,262,795	\$378,312	\$1,641,107
Total Indirect Costs	\$1,153,599	\$91,032	\$1,244,631	\$595,884	\$1,025	\$596,909
Total Costs	\$3,993,441	\$1,067,973	\$5,061,414	\$1,858,679	\$379,337	\$2,238,016
% Of Total	79	21	100	83	17	100

Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application? Yes No

10v. If yes, please list

Hardware/Software purchases for the project to date include the following:

Interactive Mapping Application - \$60,000

GIS Software & Maintenance - \$5,745

Computers & Software - \$11,604

Speed Test Software - \$3,893

Google Earth Pro - \$585

Spectrum Analyzer - \$4,477

10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

Remaining purchases may include SQL server for mapping geodatabase, SQL server software, multiple dedicated storage server, security application, additional backup replication solution, computers, a spectrum analyzer, GPS units, interactive map upgrades/maintenance, ArcInfo/ArcGIS maintenance, and speed test updates as well as computers and software maintenance.

10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? Yes No

10y. If yes, please list

Connect Nevada received a dataset from the Lyon County School District as part of an in-kind contribution containing Lyon County student records. This dataset provides statistics illustrating the number of parents who have checked on their child's progress via an online system set up and maintained by the school. - \$100

Connect Nevada received a dataset from the state containing total population for counties, cities, and towns as part of an in-kind contribution that will be utilized by the project to assist with its mapping and planning goals. - \$10,592

Connect Nevada received a dataset from the state, containing age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin estimates and projections for 2010-2030 as part of an in-kind match contribution to assist the project with its mapping and planning goals. - \$29,332

Connect Nevada obtained a dataset containing Nevada healthcare Community Anchor Institution (CAI) data. Since the dataset was developed using federal funds, it was not valued nor was it counted as match toward the program.

As part of an in-kind contribution from the Nevada Department of Transportation, Connect Nevada received a dataset containing 2011 road segments. This was instrumental in processing the 2010 Census road data. Since the dataset was developed using federal funds, it was not valued nor was it counted as match toward the program.

As part of an in-kind contribution from the Nevada Department of Taxation, Connect Nevada received a dataset containing business tax filings by county. The value of this dataset is still being determined.

10z. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included? Yes No

10aa. If yes, please list

Connect Nevada submitted broadband provider mapping data updates in accordance with the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) semi-annual requirement on March 28. The submission included participation of just over 98 percent of the Nevada provider community.

Significant CAI outreach took place when engaging the Nevada Department of Education, the Nevada Association of School Boards superintendents, and the Nevada Department of Health and Human Services this quarter. Connect Nevada also worked the Nevada State Library and Archives to survey libraries via e-mail and telephone.

10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing
Educating CAI contacts about the data collection efforts of Connect Nevada continues to be a challenge in Nevada. To mitigate this problem, Connect Nevada is engaging sector leaders to request assistance in distributing a CAI survey which will help to show support and validate the survey. The response has proven to be significantly higher when the survey is distributed by a sector leader or organization.

Based on total expenditures through Q1 2012, Connect Nevada's non-federal cost percentage is 16.95%. Therefore, CNV will fall short of the required match percentage. Program staff continue to work with the state partner in order to identify alternative sources of match/in-kind contributions. Through volunteer and consultant time, state partner personnel and benefits, office space made available by the state of Nevada to program staff, and the valuation of the Sales & Use Tax Locations Dataset, Connect Nevada anticipates meeting the required match percentage at the conclusion of Q2 2012.

10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

On January 31, Connect Nevada released updated broadband availability estimates at the statewide and county levels using the most recently published 2010 Census household data. These availability estimates reveal that 1% of Nevada households do not have access to basic broadband service of at least 768 kilobytes per second (Kbps) downstream and 200 Kbps upstream. In addition, the data show that 2.7% of Nevada households do not have access to higher speeds of at least 3 megabytes per second (Mbps) downstream and 768 Kbps upstream. Further, across rural areas of Nevada, the percentage of unserved households by any fixed broadband service is 5.6%, representing approximately 6,790 unserved rural Nevada households. <http://www.connectnv.org/blog/post/connect-nevada-releases-updated-broadband-availability-estimates>

On February 17, an analysis of the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) preliminary list of areas in Nevada eligible for the FCC's Mobility Fund was released - <http://www.connectnv.org/blog/post/connect-nevada-releases-usf-mobility-fund-eligibility-maps-analysis>. Connect Nevada's analysis compares the FCC eligible areas list to the geographic areas on our maps that have access to mobile download speeds of 1.5 Mbps. Every "3G" technology listed by the FCC in its Mobility Fund is expected to have the potential of delivering at least 1.5 Mbps service. For the most part, our data confirms that the vast majority of the areas of Nevada lacking 3G technology do not, in fact, have adequate mobile broadband service. However, it also appears that many parts of Nevada that do not have access to high-speed mobile broadband service are not on the FCC's list of eligible areas.

(For more details, please refer to the Nevada Q1 2012 Supplemental Answers document.)

11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

Broadband Capacity Building Quarterly Milestones Year 3, Quarter 1

Milestone: State broadband program office administration complete.

This milestone was met. Connect Nevada program staff coordinated the meetings of the Nevada Broadband Task Force on January 12, February 22, and March 27 where updates were provided relating to mapping, research, policy, local planning, and community engagement efforts. The grant program's state partner received updates weekly through status reports and in-person meetings.

Connect Nevada focused reporting efforts on local engagement items that informed state planning and coordination with other grant projects in an effort to develop a comprehensive statewide broadband plan. Also during the quarter, office space was maintained in the Nevada State Library and Archives building.

Connect Nevada provided administrative oversight through continued training of regional planning consultants at weekly support meetings and through weekly community engagement webinars. Reporting mechanisms were developed for consultants where the status of community engagement was reported, goals and objectives were set and reported upon, community engagement meeting outcomes were shared, and training and support were provided. Training and support were also provided through in-person training sessions by the state program manager at local planning team meetings.

The state team (including regional planning consultants) met weekly, and the national team collaborated through community engagement webinars where best practices were shared and potential barriers and opportunities were identified and discussed. Additionally a statewide webinar was hosted to discuss implications of Universal Service Fund (USF) Lifeline Reforms and opportunities for pilot projects.

(For more details, please refer to the Nevada Q1 2012 Supplemental Answers document.)

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing
Broadband Capacity Building
 Nothing to report

Technical Assistance

The peer review process was unable to be finalized before the end of Q1 2012. At this time, an appropriate peer reviewer has been identified and a contract has been signed. This contributed to the delay in finalizing and publicly releasing the 2011 business survey results. Since the peer reviewer for Nevada has been identified, this should not be an issue in future quarters.

The initiation of the 2012 statewide residential survey was delayed until Q2 2012 to ensure feedback from the Nevada Broadband Task Force could be incorporated into the plans for the survey.

Local/Regional Technology Planning Teams

Nothing to report.

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning? Yes No

11d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

Broadband Capacity Building
 Nothing to report.

Technical Assistance

The public release of the 2011 Business Technology Assessment has been moved to Q2 2012 due to delay in peer reviews.

As described in the approved Project Plan, discussions were held with the Nevada Broadband Task Force and the Nevada State Library and Archives regarding the methodology and results of past surveys so that stakeholder input could be incorporated into research plans for years 3 through 5. These discussions were part of the January 12, 2012, and February 22, 2012, Nevada Broadband Task Force meetings.

As a result of this input, and with the intention of ongoing collaboration with the Nevada Broadband Task Force and the Nevada State Library and Archives, Connect Nevada will conduct residential surveys in 2012 and 2013 that will focus on specific technology adoption and barrier issues to adoption (such as mobile broadband adoption) and a business survey in 2013 that will be designed to be more sector-specific. In 2014, Connect Nevada will conduct a residential survey with a statewide sample representative of the state's adult population, consistent with the methodology used for the surveys conducted in 2011. This will allow for trending and comparisons to the baseline residential survey administered in 2010 and 2011.

Upon approval from NTIA of the revised plan, the 2012 residential survey will commence. CNV anticipates this occurring in Q2 2012. In turn, the 2012 residential survey will be analyzed and initial results will be released to the state in Q3 2012, and the residential survey results will be finalized and released in Q4 2012.

(For more details, please refer to the Nevada Q1 2012 Supplemental Answers document.)

Funding

11e. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$0 11f. How much Remains? \$0

11g. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter? \$0 11h. How much Remains? \$0

11i. Planning Worksheet

Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
-------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

11i. Planning Worksheet						
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0

Additional Planning Information

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

Community teams were highlighted in CNV's first monthly eNewsletter to share project successes, raise awareness of initiative work, and engage the public in the Connected process. At the close of Q1 2012, CNV had 451 eNewsletter subscribers.

Connect Nevada raised awareness of broadband-related issues statewide through the monthly eNewsletter, press releases, blog postings, and social networking. CNV worked directly with statewide influencers, groups, organizations, and industries to encourage focus on broadband adoption, access, and use.

The Q1 2012 eNewsletters are provided as PDF attachments as a part of this report.

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

Nothing to report

11l. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project

CNV counted 3.5 million (3,562,654) positive Mainstream media stories in Q1 2012. Stories that point to CNV's research and work and raise awareness of the CNV program include:

* Las Vegas Review-Journal; Business-Government Partnerships Touted as Experts Converge on Las Vegas: Studies have shown that investment in broadband Internet returns 10 times the cost in local economic growth and secondary investment. Many companies cite the availability of broadband as essential to starting or expanding operations. The Internet also allows small businesses, even those based in remote locations, to compete worldwide. Connect Nevada created a community certification program that offers a comprehensive and localized way for many communities to "bridge the digital divide". Business leaders in rural White Pine County launched CNV's Connected program to help gain increased access and use of broadband as a lifeline to better jobs, education, and medical resources. <http://www.lvrj.com/business/business-government-partnerships-touted-as-experts-converge-on-las-vegas-141283633.html>

* Ely Daily Times – Connect Nevada Hires Advisor to 'Train Trainers': CNV's new Community Technology Advisor will provide "train-the-trainer" activities to the regional planning teams on the broadband landscape to spur digital literacy activities in each region. In

addition, he will work with program outreach staff and volunteers of the regional planning teams in an effort to increase regional and local awareness of the planning teams, the planning process, the benefits of increased broadband adoption, and Connect Nevada through the media and local organizations. http://www.elynews.com/news/article_0a360db8-4883-11e1-b99a-001871e3ce6c.html

(For more details, please refer to the Nevada Q1 2012 Supplemental Answers document.)

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose set forth in the award documents.

12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official

Bernie Bogle

12c. Telephone
(area code, number, and extension)

12d. Email Address

bbogle@connectednation.org

12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official

Submitted Electronically

12e. Date Report Submitted
(Month, Day, Year)

04-27-2012