						2. Award Or Grant Number 08-50-M09032 4. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 07-24-2012				
Performance Progress Report										
Recipient Name CO GOVERNOR'S	S OFF OF INF	O TEC	CH				_	Designated Entity On Behalf Of:  State of Colorado		
3. Street Address 601 East 18th Ave	enue, Suite 150	Ο,							Report Frequency     Quarterly	
5. City, State, Zip C Denver, CO 80203							● No		Semi Annual Annual Final	
7. Project / Grant Start Date: (MM 11-01-2009			Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) -2014		o. eporting Period End Date: -30-2012		9a. If Other	, please	describe:	
10. Broadband	Mapping		10a. Provider Table	•			•			
Number of Providers Identified 0	Number of Providers Cor		Number of Agreement Reached for Data Sha 0	aring		Numbe Comple			er of ets Verified	
10c. Have you encount 10d. If so, describe Since there was not duplicates the responew in-house staff. non-cooperative can Rebeltec Commun for this effort. Of the Cable appears to be 10e. If you are colle	ountered challen the discussions o data delivery conse from the . In this deliver ategory. These ications, LLC a ese, the only poe significant.	ges with to date this qu last qu y we h six pro and Re provide	AIDER DATA by using the any providers that indi- with each of these provi- uarter, we are just beguarterly report for which ad 44 providers that valued at 44 providers (Airbits, Ken- eliance Globalcom Seler of significance is Refer means (e.g. data extra eliance	cate iders ginni ch w we c rec rvice ebelt	they may refuse to particular and the current statusing outreach to provide delivered data. The considered non-respondered non	rticipate in ders for the CBDD onsive or ountain \ ed they a do the 3	this project? the next del P collected non-coope /illage Cable re not interes 88 non-resp	•Yes ivery. The data from rative we e TV, Poested in onsive p	No nis response m providers with our ith six of these in the CI Broadband, providing data to us providers, only US	
We are not collecting data through extrapolation.  10f. Please describe the verification activities you plan to implement  - CAI Speed Test Analysis: the CBDDP collects from CAIs service speed and name of the service provider, but of the 1,662 speed tests collected from CAIs only 1048 of those tests specifically identified the service provider.  - The CBDDP is starting to engage service providers to perform speed tests at end user sites when they perform service at these sites. This will provide more accurate representations of service available.  - The CBDDP has driven five routes totalling approximately 5,000 miles of driving testing of wireless service throughout the state. As with the CAI data, we are continuing to develop specific mechanisms for analyzing this data more rigorously and providing feedback to service providers.  10g. Have you initiated verification activities?  No										
runs both the scrip that the data passe - There are three m new providers or doubters updated the covera	been developi ts it has developed the NTIA vanajor types of old petion of old page. The third	ng and oped a lidation data chorovide type o	I improving automated s well as the script pronscript as required. nanges between the C	ovid Octol of cl mpre	ed by the NTIA on a ber 2011 delivery an nange is that we rec oved process impler	monthly nd the Ap eived ne mented b	basis. The ril 2012 deli w data from y the OIT te	data de very. Fi an old ¡ am. Ol	livery includes proof irst is the addition of provider and therefore T analyzed and	

 The FCC speed test information contains two separate data sets, both of which cover a date range from March 2010 to February 2012. The Consumer Broadband Test (CBT) Data includes speed tests from homes, businesses, community centers, and other landline locations. The Mobile Data includes speed tests collected using the Mobile App on either an iPhone or Android mobile device. - Feedback Loop: the CBDDP gave all service providers the opportunity to review the final geospatial representation of their data in the form of mapbooks. In addition, the OIT team created and communicated validation assessments based on the tests described below in order to verify speed accuracy for each provider's broadband coverage area.

- Third Party Data Verification and Validation: OIT has compared 100% of the service provider coverage areas to third party data sets. These data sets include American Roamer, ComSearch, Pitney Bowes, MediaPrints, and SpectrumView. 20 providers overlapped multiple third party data sets, so in these cases all of the relevant third party data sets were used to validate a single service provider/technology type

10i. If verification activities have not been initiated please provide a projected time line for beginning and completing such activities

N/A

#### **Staffing**

10j. How many jobs have been created or retained as a result of this project?

In Q2, 2012, 7.94 FTE were created: 7.59 in the Colorado Broadband Data & Development Program and 0.35 in our Application Development (Leading Practices) Contractor

10k. Is the project currently fully staffed? Yes No

10l. If no, please explain how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed

As a result of unsuccessful recruitment attempts for an application developer, the web development has proceeded using a contractor, but this also will not significantly impact to overall project goals and this work is progressing well. OIT has had turnover in the Communications Manager position responsible for the Planning project, but we expect to fill this position quickly.

10m. When fully staffed, how many full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs do you expect to create or retain as a result of this project?

- Grant year 3 (11/2011 11/2012): 10 FTE (0.5 PM, Data Mgr, Comm Mgr, 1.5 Dist. Learning, 4 GIS Analysts, 1 data.gov Developer. 1 Address Coordinator)
- Grant year 4 (11/2012 11/2013): 9.0 FTE (0.5 PM, 1 Data Mgr, 1 Comm. Mgr, 1.5 Dist Learning, 4 GIS Analysts, 1 Address Coordinator)
- Grant year 5 (11/2013 11/2014): 8.0 FTE (0.5 PM, Data Mgr, Comm. Mgr., 1.5 Dist Learning, 4 GIS Analysts) 10n. Staffing Table

Add Row

Job Title	FTE %	Date of Hire
Data Manager	100	02/22/2010
Communication Manager	100	03/08/2010
Distance Learning Project Manager	100	05/02/2011
Project Manager	41	5/31/2011
GIS Analyst	100	08/08/2011
GIS Analyst	100	11/07/2011
GIS Analyst	98	12/12/2011
Address/GIS Outreach Coordinator	68	05/01/2012
Distance Learning Interactive Video Technical Coordinator	52	2/1/2012

## **Sub Contracts**

10o. Subcontracts Table

Name of Subcontractor	Purpose of Subcontract	RFP Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Federal Funds	In-Kind Funds
Critigen	Data Collection	Υ	Υ	03/26/2010	10/31/2011	760,750	0

Remove Row

Unknown	Distance Learning - Educator Training	N	N	01/02/2012	01/01/2013	65,250	0
Patrick engineering	Leading Practices (Portal development)	Υ	Y	08/08/2011	01/01/2013	100,000	0
Unknown	Data.gov - Architecture design	N	Y	01/02/2012	01/01/2013	80,000	0

Add Row Remove Row

### **Funding**

10p. How much Federal funding has been expended as of the end of the last quarter? \$1,955,544

5,544 10q. How much Remains?

\$3,426,538

10r. How much matching funds have been expended as of the end of last quarter?

\$550,713

10s. How much Remains?

\$794,849

10t. Budget Worksheet

Mapping Budget Element	Federal Funds Granted	Proposed In-Kind	Total Budget	Federal Funds Expended	Matching Funds Expended	Total Funds Expended
Personal Salaries	\$2,311,181	\$163,722	\$2,474,903	\$822,322	\$101,302	\$923,624
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$462,235	\$32,745	\$494,980	\$164,464	\$20,260	\$184,724
Travel	\$109,990	\$0	\$109,990	\$19,754	\$0	\$19,754
Equipment	\$759,453	\$290,000	\$1,049,453	\$112,081	\$0	\$112,081
Materials / Supplies	\$38,970	\$120,000	\$158,970	\$17,271	\$0	\$17,271
Subcontracts Total	\$1,006,000	\$0	\$1,006,000	\$780,760	\$0	\$780,760
Subcontract #1	\$760,750	\$0	\$760,750	\$754,500	\$0	\$754,500
Subcontract #2	\$65,250	\$0	\$65,250	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$80,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$26,260	\$0	\$26,260
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$632,758	\$739,095	\$1,371,853	\$38,892	\$429,151	\$468,043
Total Direct Costs	\$5,320,587	\$1,345,562	\$6,666,149	\$1,955,544	\$550,713	\$2,506,257
Total Indirect Costs	\$61,495	\$0	\$61,495	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$5,382,082	\$1,345,562	\$6,727,644	\$1,955,544	\$550,713	\$2,506,257
% Of Total	80	20	100	78	22	100

#### Hardware / Software

10u. Has the project team purchased the software / hardware described in the application?

Yes No

10v. If yes, please list

As of 6/30/12, the following has been purchased:

- ESRI Desktop GIS Software \$43,637
- ESRI GIS server software \$18,000
- Flex development software \$1,315.82
- Server and Operating System Software \$29,714.63
- 5 Laptops and 4 Desktop PCs & Monitors (PM, Data Mgr, Comm. Mgr, QA Analyst) \$17,190.20
- SAN (5Tb)Storage for Mapping \$17,820.00
- 10w. Please note any software / hardware that has yet to be purchased and explain why it has not been purchased

Remaining Server and Software \$11,500 (\$25,000-13,537 purchased=\$11,500) Development SW \$4.500 data.gov IT Infrastructure Yrs 3-4 Server HW & SW \$52,000 - data.gov IT Infrastructure Yrs 3-4 ARCGIS SW \$45,000 - data.gov IT Infrastructure Yrs 3-4 ARCGIS Maint \$37,500 All of the above equipment is planned to be purchased in later years of the grant to support the leading practices, address data coordination and data.gov efforts. Consequently these expenditures are not late or behind the originally planned time frame. - Distance Learning Pilot Equipment \$360,000 Distance Learning Scheduling Software \$71,832 The Distance Learning project was delayed several months due to a hold on hiring during the Gubernatorial transition. So, while the equipment purchase is occurring later than planned in the grant proposal, the scheduling software procurement process is under way, an the first pilot equipment is currently being purchased as well. 10x. Has the project team purchased or used any data sets? 10y. If yes, please list - Wire Center Boundaries - PBBI Pitney Bowes V2 \$2,500 - Central Office Locations - PBBI Pitney Bowes V2 \$2,500 Comsearch, American Roamer Data V2 \$10,000 Anchor Institution and Business Listing & Survey Data from InfoUSA \$17,000 10aa. If yes, please list The CBDDP has enhanced the web map presence for Colorado adding tabs for speed tests and displaying the results of speed tests as well as showing information about the Local Technology Planning Teams and community anchor institutions. The new Address Coordinator (now called a GIS Outreach Coordinator) started in May. He has kick started the address project, developing a detailed project plan and convening a stakeholder group to define data needs and work flows. This project is also starting outreach to specific counties for training of emergency managers or other responder personnel in address creation and validation. The distance learning project is advancing with installation of proof of concept site equipment and scheduling software. New content is under development for distance learning classes. More details are available in the supplemental documents. The data gov site is active and have several data sets uploaded to it. OIT is using a "software as a service" model to support data.colorado.gov. In addition to these developments, more detailed information relative to the proposed milestones is available in the PPR attachment "Supplemental Award Projects Status." 10bb. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing Previous staffing issues have been overcome although a portal developer has not been found yet. The CBDDP GIS analysts are providing web portal development and learning from the contractor hired to provide enhancements for the CBDDP web site. The CBDDP is still working hard to make up for lost time due to staffing delays 10cc. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project The CBDDP continues to grow its Local Technology Planning Teams (LTPTs), with 17 in place as of the end of Q2 2012 and two other showing interest. They have undertaken diverse organizational approaches based on the dynamics of each area and have successfully attracted or planned for additional middle mile capacity into their areas. The state's broadband map data is being used to support broadband metrics for OIT and economic development metrics for the Governor's economic development blueprint in Colorado and potentially inform broadband strategy at the state level. The distance learning scheduling software scope is designed for 150 end sites, growing to possibly 250 by the end of the grant. The CBDDP will also be able to support 14 pilot sites rather than the 12 originally proposed due better pricing and innovative configurations for the sites. 11. Broadband Planning

11a. Please describe progress made against all goals, objectives, and milestones detailed in the approved Project Plan. Be sure to include a description of each major activity / milestone that you plan to complete and your current status

Please see separate file: Q2 2012 Supplemental Answers

11b. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the project team is employing

Please see separate file: Q2 2012 Supplemental Answers

11c. Does the Project Team anticipate any changes to the project plan for Broadband Planning?	Yes	<ul><li>No</li></ul>

N/A

## **Funding**

11e. How much Federal fur	nding has been expend	11f. How much Remains? \$0				
11g. How much matching f	unds have been expen	0 11h.	11h. How much Remains?			
11i. Planning Worksheet						
Personal Salaries	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Materials / Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontracts Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subcontract #5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
% Of Total	0	0	0	0	0	0

# **Additional Planning Information**

11j. Are there any additional project milestones or information that has not been included?

Please see separate file: Q2 2012 Supplemental Answers.

11k. Please describe any challenge or obstacle that you have encountered and detail the mitigation strategies the Project Team is employing

N/A

<sup>11</sup>d. If yes, please describe these anticipated changes. Please note that NTIA will need to approve changes to the Project Plan before they can be implemented

11I. Please provide any other information that you think would be useful to NTIA as it assesses your Broadband Mapping Project
N/A

12. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct at set forth in the award documents.	nd complete for performance of activities for the purpose
12a. Typed or Printed Name and Title of Authorized Certifying Official  Jon Gottsegen	12c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)
Con Consequen	12d. Email Address
	Jon.Gottsegen@state.co.us
12b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official	12e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)
Submitted Electronically	08-27-2012