

# DATA DEVELOPMENT & VALIDATION METHODOLOGIES WHITE PAPER



## Commonwealth of Kentucky State Broadband Initiative (SBI) Broadband Mapping Project



COMMONWEALTH OFFICE  
OF BROADBAND OUTREACH  
AND DEVELOPMENT  
*Promoting a 21st century economy*

**NTIA Data Submittal**  
**October 1, 2013**

**Baker**

## Table of Contents

<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Broadband Provider Outreach Results .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Broadband Provider Outreach Procedure .....</b>	<b>4</b>
Data Submission Guidelines.....	4
Kentucky Broadband Providers Website .....	4
Outreach Delivery Vehicles .....	5
Inclusion of Resellers .....	5
Secure Broadband Provider Data Update Webportal .....	7
Broadband Outreach Tracker .....	8
<b>Provider Submittal Validation .....</b>	<b>9</b>
Validation Checklist .....	9
Data Usability Determination .....	10
<b>SBI Data Development .....</b>	<b>10</b>
Spatial Data .....	10
Address Data Geocoding.....	10
Census Block Aggregation .....	11
Road Segment Aggregation.....	11
Overview Data Aggregation .....	11
Polygonal Boundary Aggregation/Integration .....	11
Wireline Provider.....	11
Wireless Provider.....	12
Middle/Last Mile Data Integration .....	12
Community Anchor Institution Integration.....	12
Provider CAIs .....	12
Commonwealth CAIs .....	12
USAC –CAI Web Scraping.....	12
Typical Speeds from Other Sources .....	13
Propagation Modeling .....	13
<b>Data Verification Summary .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Provider Validation .....</b>	<b>14</b>
Types of Provider Maps .....	14
Outreach Maps .....	14
Initial Verification Maps .....	14
Detailed Verification Maps.....	14
Revised Maps.....	15
<b>Data Validation .....</b>	<b>16</b>

Validation Data Set Collection and Development .....16

Provider Data Validation Process .....20

Validation and Confidence Level Reporting.....20

Low Confidence Provider Feedback.....22

Changes and Corrections Documentation .....22

Table of Figures

Figure 1 Reseller Outreach/Interview Form.....7

Figure 2 Provider Data Update Webportal Entry Page .....8

Figure 3 Provider Data Update Webportal –View/Edit Coverage Map Environment .....8

Figure 4 Broadband Outreach Tracker.....9

Figure 5 Provider Map .....15

Figure 6 Field Verification Sampling Locations.....17

Figure 7 Statistical Evaluation and Assessment System (SEAS) .....21

Appendices

Appendix A: Commonwealth of Kentucky SBDD Process Workflow.....23

Appendix B: State Broadband Data Validation Workflow .....24

Appendix C: Master Outreach List.....25

## Introduction

The following sections of this document provide an overview of the process used for the SBI Broadband Mapping data development for the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The following narrative is depicted in Appendix A, Commonwealth of Kentucky SBI Process Workflow, and Appendix B, State Broadband Data Validation Workflow, included at the end of this document.

## Broadband Provider Outreach Results

As a result of the outreach to broadband providers and investigating whether an internet service provider (ISP) meets the definition of a broadband provider as per the NOFA, the following is a summary of our findings:

- 235 Total Investigated ISPs
- 106 Total Confirmed Broadband Service Providers (unique Provider/DBA combinations)
- 102 Broadband Service Providers who Supplied Data (unique Provider/DBA combinations)
- 2 Total Confirmed Broadband Service Resellers (unique Provider/DBA combinations)
- 2 Broadband Service Resellers who Supplied Data (unique Provider/DBA combinations)
- 12 Total Confirmed Broadband Service Providers/Resellers (unique Provider/DBA combinations)
- 11 Broadband Service Providers/Resellers who Supplied Data (unique Provider/DBA combinations)

Attachment C, Master Outreach List, contains additional provider information.

## Broadband Provider Outreach Procedure

The following outreach procedure provides the framework for communicating with Broadband Service Providers (Providers). The primary goals of the outreach approach documented herein are to:

- Promote Provider understanding and acceptance of the Broadband Mapping process, results and benefits
- Clarify NTIA Broadband Mapping requirements
- Facilitate data confidentiality agreements as required
- Minimize the submittal of invalid data
- Enhance provider understanding of the semi-annual update process
- Work with Providers to evaluate submittal options to facilitate data submittals

## Data Submission Guidelines

Guidelines for the providers' submission of Broadband Mapping Data are documented in the "Data Submission Guidelines". These Guidelines define technical requirements, submission specifications, and coordination and documentation activities.

## Kentucky Broadband Providers Website

A URL was deployed (<http://www.bakergis.com/kyBroadbandProvider/>) to communicate and distribute NTIA NOFA requirements to providers along with outreach and data submittal materials including:

- NTIA NOFA and subsequent clarification
- Outreach letters to providers

- Non-Disclosure Agreement
- Quick Start Guides
- Data Submission Guidelines
- Data Transmittal Letter
- Broadband Data Submittal Templates
- Census TIGER Data
- Data Submittal Assistance Contact Information

### Outreach Delivery Vehicles

- A State Broadband Mapping Initiative Call for Data letter from the Kentucky Commonwealth Office of Technology (COT) was emailed to all Broadband Service Providers in the Commonwealth. This initial provider contact letter described the program and the role of Michael baker Jr., Inc. (Baker) acting on behalf of the COT for Broadband Data Collection and Mapping.
- Baker distributed a follow-up letter to all Providers describing the data submittal requirements and material and help available to aid with the data submittals.
- Submittal assistance was provided to providers that needed help with data submittals.
- Presentations were conducted with various broadband provider associations to present the data submittal requirements and answer questions.
- Email communication and electronic transfer of data was encouraged to facilitate a faster delivery of data and information.
- A URL was deployed and promoted to distribute outreach material and information concerning the Broadband Mapping Project.
- A secure FTP URL was provided for submittal of broadband data by providers.
- A secure Broadband Provider Data Update Webportal was deployed for providers to redline/update their service coverage, rather than supply their updated coverage for the semi-annual data updates.

### Inclusion of Resellers

With the request for data current as of December 31, 2011, resellers are being included in all of the outreach, data collection, data aggregation, and verification tasks. The following outreach form has been developed to secure the proper information and to minimize the resource commitment required by the reseller.

BROADBAND SERVICE PROVIDER INFORMATION	
***Please fill out one form per DBA and / or Technology of Transmission***	
Provider Name:	
Doing Business As (DBA) Name (if applicable):	
FCC Registration Number (FRN) (if applicable):	
Website Address:	
Do you own transmission equipment, including middle mile, for your service area or for any part? (Termed 'Broadband Primary Provider' in FAQ's)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If you answered Yes, please indicate this coverage area by county, municipality, or zip code and a map will be provided for you to further define your coverage area.	
If you answered No, please indicate the Carriers you contract with to provide your company's broadband coverage. (Termed 'Broadband Reseller' in FAQ's)	
Do you resell broadband services for the entire area of each carrier above?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If No, then please indicate your reseller coverage area(s) by county, municipality, or zip codes and a map will be provided for you to further define your reseller coverage area:	
Technology of Transmission: (one per form)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Asymmetric xDSL (ADSL)	<input type="checkbox"/> Symmetric xDSL (SDSL)
<input type="checkbox"/> Cable Modem - DOCSIS 3.0	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Copper Wireline
<input type="checkbox"/> Cable Modem - Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Optical Carrier / Fiber to the End User
<input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Fixed Wireless - Unlicensed	<input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Fixed Wireless - Licensed
<input type="checkbox"/> Terrestrial Mobile Wireless	<input type="checkbox"/> Electric Power Line
<input type="checkbox"/> Satellite	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
Speed Tiers: What is the Maximum Broadband advertised speed ?	
Maximum Advertised Downstream Speed	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 768 kbps and less than 1.5 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 1.5 mbps and less than 3 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 3 mbps and less than 6 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 6 mbps and less than 10 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 10 mbps and less than 25 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 25 mbps and less than 50 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 50 mbps and less than 100 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 100 mbps and less than 1 gbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than or equal to 1 gbps
Maximum Advertised Upstream Speed	<input type="checkbox"/> Less than or equal to 200 kbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 200 kbps and less than 768 kbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 768 kbps and less than 1.5 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 1.5 mbps and less than 3 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 3 mbps and less than 6 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 6 mbps and less than 10 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 10 mbps and less than 25 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 25 mbps and less than 50 mbps
	<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 50 mbps and less than 100 mbps
<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than 100 mbps and less than 1 gbps	
<input type="checkbox"/> Greater than or equal to 1 gbps	



Figure 1 Reseller Outreach/Interview Form

### Secure Broadband Provider Data Update Webportal

A secure web-based application for broadband service providers has been deployed to simplify and automate the semi-annual process for collecting and verifying data. The webportal provides an easy-to-use map redlining tool for updating a provider broadband service area and attributes. It is expected that the simplification and automation of the data collection process will increase participation and improve the timeliness of provider response, data accuracy and consistency. Providers are being encouraged to utilize this tool but data is still being accepted through other means and formats.

## Kentucky Broadband Provider Portal



### Providers: Keep Your Broadband Coverage Map Up To Date!

Register for an account to view your current coverage map. Submit updates to your coverage data through redlining tools and/or secure transfer of coverage records. Monitor the progress of your newly submitted coverage data as it is migrated to the public broadband map.

#### VIEW/EDIT COVERAGE MAP



#### SECURE FTP UPLOAD



#### Login

[Returning Providers login here.](#)



#### Apply for Access

[Sign up for access to the portal.](#)



#### Contact Us

[Submit Questions, Concerns, Problems, or General Feedback Here.](#)



#### About

[Learn more about the Broadband Provider Portal.](#)



© 2012 Michael Baker Corporation

Figure 2 Provider Data Update Webportal Entry Page

The View/Edit Coverage Map functions via secure login/password and secured map services limit broadband providers to see and edit only their own data. Picklists of valid database attributes eliminate entry errors and create consistency. It also contains a workflow from initial provider input, saving of a provider's work-in-progress, provider formally submitting edits, aggregation into the master geodatabase, soliciting provider approval of aggregated data, and final approval of the edit.




Figure 3 Provider Data Update Webportal –View/Edit Coverage Map Environment

## Broadband Outreach Tracker

The Tracker application (

Figure 4) is utilized to collect all correspondence with Providers and feedback on the effectiveness of the outreach activities by tracking items such as:

- The number and content of incoming e-mails and letters submitted from the Providers
- The number and source of comments, questions, and suggestions made by Providers
- The number and source of comments, questions, and suggestions made by attendees at Provider meetings and conference calls
- Provider contact information and data submittal status.



**Provider Outreach Tracker - AT&T Kentucky**

State: KY  
 Outreach Name: AT&T Kentucky  
 Provider Type (old): Active Provider  
 Provider Type: Provider  
 Provider Status: Active  
 Technology Type: 10 - Asymmetric xDSL; 50 - Optical Carrier/Fiber to the End - Terrestrial Mobile Wireless  
 Website: [www.att.com](http://www.att.com)  
 Telephone Number:  
 NDA: non-standard  
 Date NDA Executed: 3/24/2010  
 Date NDA Expires:  
 Comment: Member of KTA

Created at 6/12/2012 7:07 AM by Kammer, Richard  
 Last modified at 1/25/2013 3:43 PM by McCarty, Wendall

Edit	Primary Contact	Contact Type	Contact Name
	Business	Tony Taylor	
	Business	Joan Duncan	
	Business	Mary Keyer	
	Business	Mary Pat Regan - President	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	Technical	Greg Wagner, Area Manager- Regulatory Affairs

Add New Contact...

Edit	Submission Round	Date Received	Submission Type	Date Approved
	R4 (Jun 2011 - Oct 2011)	8/23/2011	Entire	
	R5 (Jan 2012 - Apr 2012)	2/14/2012	Entire	
	R6 (Jun 2012 - Oct 2012)	8/21/2012	Entire	
	R7 (Jan 2013 - Apr 2013)	2/8/2013	Entire	

Add New Status...

**POT Contact Info - Tony Taylor**

Provider ID: 132  
 Primary Contact:  
 Contact Type: Business  
 Contact Name: Tony Taylor  
 Email: [tony.taylor@att.com](mailto:tony.taylor@att.com)  
 Phone: 502-582-8601  
 Fax: 210-246-8152 / (502) 564-2140  
 Address: 1010 North St. Mary's, Room 1320 / 601 W. Chestnut Street SW  
 City: San Antonio / Louisville  
 State: TX / KY  
 Zip: 78215 / 40202

**POT Communication Log - verified Speed and Technology**

Topic: verified Speed and Technology  
 Date: 9/4/2012  
 Communication Type: Telephone  
 Provider Contact: Greg Wagner, Area Manager- Regula  
 BB Team: Wendall

Log:

Greg's explained/verified that they have speed tier 7 for their their asymmetrical DSL. They use this technology to increase their speeds for their DSL services. He also noted their LTE mobility is also running at speed tier 7, 10-25 mbps.

Figure 4 Broadband Outreach Tracker

## Provider Submittal Validation

When a data submittal is received from a broadband service provider it is updated in the Broadband Outreach Tracker and run through an initial validation process to assure that it meets the submittal guidelines.

### Validation Checklist

The following items are part of this initial data validation process:

- Verify the provider Transmittal Letter is complete and matches submitted data
- Verify the file naming conventions
- Verify each file is machine readable
- Verify data is in the correct GIS or Tabular format/file type
- Verify each field is populated and no empty or NULL values are present for mandatory fields
- Verify all ID (record number points) are unique within the submittal
- Verify all attribute data is formatted according to the submittal guidelines

- Verify topology for all geospatial submissions
- Verify Metadata for all submissions
- Verify the required contact information is included
- Verify adherence to Data Submittal Guidelines (see <http://www.bakergis.com/kyBroadbandProvider/> to access Data Submittal Guidelines)
  - Broadband Service Availability** (at least one)
    - Individual Street Addresses (Sec 3.1 & 4.1)
    - Census Blocks < 2 sq mi (3.3 & 4.3)
    - Street Segments for Census Blocks > 2 sq mi (3.2 & 4.2)
    - Service Overview (Sec 3.4 & 4.4)
    - Polygonal Boundary Area(s) (Sec 3.8 & 4.8)
  - Middle-mile Points** (Sec 3.5 & 4.5)
  - Community Anchor Institutions** (Sec 3.7 & 4.7)
  - Last Mile Connection Points** (Sec 3.6 & 4.6)
  - WISP Antennas** (Sec 4.9)

### Data Usability Determination

The validation results are evaluated by the outreach and aggregation persons to determine the usability of the data. If the data meets the submission specifications, it is forwarded on for data aggregation. If it is determined to be unusable, it is returned to the provider for resolution. If the data can be manipulated to get it into a usable format, it is manipulated as required, and then forwarded on for data aggregation.

### SBI Data Development

Data from the providers may be submitted in various formats as defined in the Data Submittal Guidelines, or in some cases unspecified formats may be accepted to help facilitate provider participation. Depending on the format of the submitted data, it is processed through one of the following processes to upgrade it to the NTIA SBI data standards.

### Spatial Data

After validation and any required manipulation of any spatial data submitted by the providers, it is georeferenced and simply loaded into the appropriate NTIA geodatabase feature class.

### Address Data Geocoding

If not already in the standard address point template, the provider tabular address data is first loaded into that template. The data is then exported to a geodatabase table using the ArcGIS Conversion Tools. ArcGIS geocoding tools are then utilized geospatially locate the address points for the tabular records. Interactive address rematching is performed against two additional street centerline datasets as needed to increase geocoding matching results. The NTIA deliverable is the geocoded address point geodatabase table. The geocoded address points are also subsequently aggregated to the census block or road segment feature class for public web map display.

### Census Block Aggregation

If not already in the standard census block template, the provider tabular census block data is first loaded into that template. The data is then exported to a geodatabase table using the ArcGIS Conversion Tools. The provider tabular census block records are then joined to the geodatabase 2010 U.S. Census Block. This join is performed as many times as necessary for multiple Trans Tech values for each Provider/Census Block combination. The NTIA deliverable is the census block geodatabase table.

If the list of census blocks contains blocks > 2 sq. miles then these blocks are used to select all the 2010 U.S. Census TIGER centerlines that intersect those blocks. The Census Block record data is aggregated to each Road Segment within the Census Block. This process is performed as many times as necessary for multiple Trans Tech values for each Provider/Census Block combination.

### Road Segment Aggregation

If not already in the standard road segment template, the provider road segment data is first loaded into that template. The data is then exported to a geodatabase table using the ArcGIS Conversion Tools. If the provider submittal included graphic centerline segments, these are migrated into the delivery geodatabase along with the linked attribute records. If the provider submittal was tabular road segment records only, they are then joined to the geodatabase 2010 U.S. Census TIGER centerline feature class. This join is performed as many times as necessary for multiple Trans Tech values for each Provider/Road Segment combination. The NTIA deliverable is the road segment geodatabase table.

If the provider road segment data lie within census blocks  $\leq$  2 sq. miles then the road segment data is aggregated to the census block. This process is performed as many times as necessary for multiple Trans Tech values for each Provider/Road Segment combination. The NTIA deliverable is the road segment geodatabase table.

### Overview Data Aggregation

Provider Service Availability Areas submitted for entire county areas are loaded into the NTIA geodatabase Overview table. If not already in the standard template, the provider data is first loaded into that template. The data is then exported to a geodatabase table using the ArcGIS Conversion Tools. The Provider Overview records are then joined to the geodatabase 2010 U.S. Census County feature class. This join is performed as many times as necessary for multiple Trans Tech values for each Provider/County Area combination.

### Polygonal Boundary Aggregation/Integration

Providers submitting polygonal service area data are handled in two ways. Wireline Provider data is aggregated to the census block feature class for areas where census blocks  $\leq$  2 sq. mi., or road segment feature class for areas where census blocks > 2 sq. mi. Wireless Provider Service Availability Areas submitted by polygonal area are simply loaded into the NTIA geodatabase Poly\_Bndry feature class.

### Wireline Provider

The polygonal data is georeferenced and loaded into the Poly\_Bndry feature class. The polygon is then attributed, manually if necessary. Depending on the area, census blocks  $<$  or  $\Rightarrow$  2 sq. mi., a selection set of either census blocks or road segments that intersect the polygon boundary is created. The attributed polygon

boundary is then joined with census blocks or road segments table to attribute accordingly. This join is performed as many times as necessary for multiple Trans Tech values for each Provider/County Area combination. The NTIA deliverable is the census block or road segment geodatabase table.

### Wireless Provider

The polygonal data is georeferenced and loaded into the Poly\_Bndry feature class. The polygon is then attributed, manually if necessary. Multiple Poly\_Bndry records are created for multiple Trans Tech values for each Provider. The NTIA deliverable is the polygon boundary geodatabase table.

### Middle/Last Mile Data Integration

If not already in the standard template, the data is first loaded into that template. The data is then exported to a geodatabase table using the ArcGIS Conversion Tools. The point features are geo-located utilizing the lat/long information provided. The NTIA deliverable is the middle or last mile geodatabase table.

### Community Anchor Institution Integration

Providers supplied some Community Anchor Institution (CAI) data with the data submittals. But the majority of the data was collected from existing GIS Layers maintained by the COT on their KYGEONET public website. Some of the data was collected by outreaching to CAIs through state agencies and their contacts, and having CAIs complete an online survey at [http://www.bakerbb.com/ky\\_institution\\_survey/](http://www.bakerbb.com/ky_institution_survey/).

### Provider CAIs

If not already in the standard template, the data is first loaded into that template. The data is then exported to a geodatabase table using the ArcGIS Conversion Tools. The point features are geo-located utilizing the lat/long information provided. Address data is used to geocode locations only when Lat/Long data is not provided.

### Commonwealth CAIs

CAI shapefiles were downloaded from the KYGEONET website. The shapefiles were then exported to the NTIA geodatabase CAI feature class. Various sources for obtaining broadband information for the CAIs were utilized. Various state agencies provided some of the information, i.e.; Council on Postsecondary Education (CPE) provided tabular broadband information for schools and libraries and COT provided tabular broadband information for health departments. A CAI data survey website was also deployed and the URL distributed by various state agencies to the CAI contacts. Data from all of these sources were then aggregated into the CAI geodatabase table for the NTIA deliverable.

### USAC –CAI Web Scraping

To enhance the CAI inventory, a web scraping tool has been developed to automatically query the USAC public website, <http://www.slforms.universalservice.org/DRT/Default.aspx>, in a batch mode and extract school and library CAI data for Kentucky. This extracted information supplements the CAI data collected by the other methods.

### Typical Speeds from Other Sources

Because not all providers are submitting the typical speed attribution with their data, a method to fill in the missing information has been developed using other sources. The method utilizes speed test data supplied through the FCC speed test information as well as from other speed test data that we are independently collecting. Business rules have been established so quality and realistic typical speeds are produced. The end result is a more complete data submittal to NTIA.

### Propagation Modeling

Fixed wireless broadband transmission is a diverse technology. Service may be transmitted over licensed and unlicensed spectrum, and delivered by larger corporate or smaller business entities, many of which serve rural areas of the State. This diversity has resulted in varying levels of SBI participation including Providers that have:

- participated,
- refused to participate,
- wished to participate but lack adequate capabilities and/or tools, or
- supplied data of marginal accuracy

The NTIA's supplemental grant funding has provided the means to generate propagation models to supplement and validate the above scenarios. In addition, the NTIA has identified fixed wireless service coverages with unusual shapes for state grantee analysis.

To facilitate development of propagation mapping, additional tower/antenna information is being requested from fixed wireless broadband providers. For those providers not responding to requests for required tower/antenna information, an attempt is made to gather the information through 3<sup>rd</sup> party sources and field investigation. The Provider, 3<sup>rd</sup> party and/or field data is processed using Terrain Analysis Package (TAP) software to develop propagation models. Maps of the resultant propagation study are sent to the fixed wireless providers for their feedback on the propagation model produced for their company.

### Data Verification Summary

Kentucky's broadband mapping project employs a multi-prong approach to ensure the provider data is accurate and complete. In summary, the project employs the following validation methodologies and resources:

- Provider Validation
- Data Validation via Market Intelligence Sources
- Data Validation Using State Supplied Data Points
- Field Validation
- Wireless Coverage Analysis
- Topology Validation
- Automated Validation Processing
- Confidence Level/Statistical Modeling
- SBDD Check Submission
- Stakeholder Validation

The remainder of this verification section describes the various methods in greater detail.



## Provider Validation

After data development, service availability maps are generated and submitted to the providers to validate their mapping results. This provides a “sign off” on the interpretation of the submitted data and extends the outreach efforts by providing a visual representation of the data to be delivered to the State and the NTIA.

## Types of Provider Maps

Provider maps generally consist of the following types.

### Outreach Maps

Often, providers will send data which does not contain all the information needed for a NTIA compliant dataset. In such cases, as an aid to the outreach communication, it may be necessary to produce a map to help the provider locate their service area or verify data they have provided. These maps may take many forms, but generally are of two types:

- **General Location Maps** – these maps are often produced when the provider does not have a list of address or other standard submittal data and needs help defining their service area. A typical map will show counties, major roads, and towns of the general area the provider has stated as their service area. The intent of the map is to give the provider a way to markup or delineate their service area. If a provider has not provided required attribute information such as Technology of Transmission, Speed Data, etc. then it may be necessary to add a visual clue to this data like an information stamp on the map that they can easily fill out. If the provider sends the map back with a service area boundary, this can then be digitized and sent back to the provider for verification.
- **Verification of Provider Supplied Boundaries** – these maps are produced when the provider has sent service area boundary information which is confusing or otherwise unclear. Often these are produced when providers send CAD maps, hand drawn maps that need digitization, or lists of zip codes or counties served. A typical map will place the interpreted boundary over a location map so the provider can verify the service area. As with the General Location Map, information stamps or other visual clues may be placed on the map.

### Initial Verification Maps

Once the provider data has been processed and the census block and road segment feature classes created, an Initial Verification Map (Figure 5) is produced to give the provider a visual representation of their service area by census block. These maps enable the provider to verify their service area and make changes if necessary. Initial Verification Maps are produced using a set of standards and produced at the highest resolution necessary to convey the map information to the provider. Initial Verification Maps are also produced for Wireless Polygon areas.


### Detailed Verification Maps

Providers who have questions about their service areas may request additional information to help clarify issues. In these cases it may be necessary to create a Detailed Verification Map to highlight the areas in question. Detailed Verification Maps provide the same information as Initial Verification Maps only at a higher resolution. Several maps may be needed to accurately portray an area in question.

## Revised Maps

Revised maps take two forms:

- Initial or Detailed Verification Maps which have been annotated or marked-up by the provider
- Outreach produced Initial or Detailed Verification Maps incorporating provider changes



### Eastern Cable Corporation

Census Block / Road Segment Coverage

Road Segment Coverage as depicted on broadband maps is defined as a 500 foot buffer around existing roads in census blocks greater than 2 square miles in area. Unnamed and other lesser roads may not be shown on the maps. Absence of road features does not necessarily indicate broadband service is unavailable.

### Legend

- Road Segments: Census > 2 sq mi
- 500 ft Road Segment Buffer
- Census < 2 sq mi

Figure 5 Provider Map

## Data Validation

A critical component of the project is the validation of the data submitted by the broadband service providers. Data from various sources, as described in more detail in the following sections, is utilized to develop a level of confidence in the data received from the broadband providers.

### Validation Data Set Collection and Development

This validation process employs data sets developed or acquired from different sources as described in the following sections.

Provider Feedback Loop: Maps of completed provider service areas and data are furnished back to the providers for confirmation of the processed/aggregated information. Feedback is integrated into the each Provider's dataset.

Broadband Market Analysis (BMA) Wireline Market Intelligence Data: Data is extracted from internal and commercial databases defining geographic service areas of telephone and cable companies and locations of central office (CO) switches and areas upgraded with fiber. The geographic areas are overlaid with Census demographic data on housing unit counts and density. The areas are then modified based on standard business practices for conducting service build-out and offering broadband service relative to housing density and other variables, such as distance from CO and other infrastructure elements, type of cable franchise (e.g., Census Place vs. Unincorporated County) This represents the first pass conservative estimate of coverage.

The above methods and data sources are supplemented by other data sources and methodologies, including: 1) connectivity data points acquired from InfoUSA that include ISP and type of connection (e.g., DSL, cable modem, dial-up, wireless, fiber) providing Internet service to specific geo-coded (i.e., by Latitude and Longitude) residential addresses; 2) web-based and telephone research, including address-level service-availability queries of web sites operated by service providers and independent entities. This multi-sourced MBA dataset is used as a validation source for provider service area coverage, Technology of Transmission, and Speed.

American Roamer Wireless Market Intelligence Data: Commercially available dataset used as an independent source to verify information submitted by Providers of wireless broadband service. This dataset is used as a validation source for provider service area coverage.

Online Public Survey and Speed Test: A Broadband Mapping Public Survey Site is deployed. Site visitors are requested to provide data on broadband availability, technology, service type (e.g., speed tier) service provider name; monthly prices paid and measured downstream and upstream speeds. In addition to State promotion via press releases to the general public, the State Council on Postsecondary Education (CPE) also promoting participation on this survey to the faculty and student population. This dataset is used as a validation source for provider service area coverage, Technology of Transmission, and Speed.

Prior Broadband Mapping: Statewide coverage areas for Cable, DSL, and Fixed Wireless providers that were aggregated as part of a previous broadband mapping effort for the Commonwealth of Kentucky are used to validate against Provider submitted data. In addition to the service areas, the DSL and Fixed Wireless layers contain general speed information that can be compared against Provider submitted data.

**FCC Speed Test:** The FCC speed test data includes the IP addresses for each specific speed test conducted. This IP address is queried against a web search engine to determine the Provider assigned to that address and is used as a validation source for provider service coverage and typical speeds.

**Field Data Acquisition:** Broadband technicians visited a sampling of census block locations to gather broadband data to be used for validation. The following criteria were taken into account when developing the census block sampling dataset:

- urban vs. rural census block characteristic
- census block grouping
- land vs. water census block characteristic

The overarching mission of the Federal broadband stimulus program is to expand Broadband service to areas that are currently unserved and underserved. Also, the market intelligence validation sources typically represent some rural, but more urban areas. Thus, our field data collection efforts were targeted more towards the rural areas; split 90% rural, 10% urban.

Additionally, a study by Penn State University (Glasmeier 2002) notes that a large number of census block groups typically fit within any given cable or telephone company service areas. Therefore, our field sample was also based on selection of one census block per block group. The selected census block also had greater than 50% land area, versus water. There are a total of 3, 158 census block groups statewide. Using a statistical sample size calculator based upon the number of block groups in the state and +/- 4% margin of error at a 95% confidence level, the sample size is 529 census block locations (Figure 6).

For the 529 census blocks that were visited, 2455 individual wired/wireless data elements were recorded and 3024 pictures were taken at those locations. This field collected dataset is used as a validation source primarily for wireline and wireless technology of transmission and middle mile, and for wireless speed.




Figure 6 Field Verification Sampling Locations

For each census block in the sample set, broadband technicians collected data using Panasonic Toughbook computers, loaded with MapPoint mapping software, and a customized Microsoft Access data collection form with the ability to automatically import GPS coordinates. The sample census blocks were pre-loaded and directly accessible from MapPoint. Two types of data collection were conducted; infrastructure observation and wireless speed testing; and the results were recorded and linked to the corresponding field location coordinates within the designated sample census block. The information collected by the field broadband technicians includes:

Wireline:

- GPS coordinates
- circuit infrastructure feeding the area (copper, fiber, cable)
- collect site pictures

Wireless:

- GPS coordinates
- internet speed test

This field collected dataset is used as a validation source primarily for wireline and wireless technology of transmission and middle mile, and for wireless speed.

Independent 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Validation: Murray State University coordinated the efforts of resources at the University of Louisville and the Kentucky Community and Technical College System (validation team) to validate the collection methods and collected data associated with the collection of broadband availability data. This validation data developed from this effort was subsequently integrated into the Statistical Evaluation and Assessment System (SEAS) to verify the data submitted by the broadband providers.

The validation team review included:

- a. Validating the list of providers being used by the mapping vendor to make sure all providers are included.
- b. Validating the list of state-provided and Census Tiger Data to identify the location of health facilities, schools, libraries, hospitals, universities, public buildings, etc.
- c. Reviewing provider outreach methodology being used by the mapping vendor.
- d. Reviewing submission options, the Non-Disclosure Agreement and the timeframe for submission.
- e. Identifying Business Intelligence data sources to validate provider information.
- f. Reviewing mapping vendor's website used to collect comment/survey forms from visitors to validate the broadband coverage in their area.
- g. Observing the data collection and data entry process and the ongoing steps in the development of the final products.

Once data was collected, the validation team provided a review that included:

- a. Cross checking of data for accuracy
- b. Statistically representative and significant samples to validate data, especially in rural and potentially underserved.

Limited field census and telephone surveys were also used to validate data in situations where the data cross checks and statistical samples are not able to validate data provided by the mapping vendor. Faculty and



students from campuses of the Kentucky Community and Technical and College System (KCTCS) conducted the field census work to validate local adoption rates. KCTCS has 16 colleges and over 60 campuses to provide state-wide coverage for field census work.

The work performed, and being performed by the validation team can be summarized in four areas: (1) Audit, (2) Selective Surveys, (3) Reconcile Survey and Provider Data, and (4) Field Test to Resolve Discrepancies.

**Audit** – At the beginning of the project it was decided that the best way to obtain quality data was to make sure that the initial data collection was of the highest quality that it could be. The validation team concentrated its initial efforts in working with the mapping vendor to get the best quality data and also the largest quantity of data that could be obtained. Mapping vendor processes were reviewed and suggested improvements provided. Web sites and documents that were to be used for data collection were evaluated and improvements suggested. Provider lists were reviewed and additional vendors or potential vendors were identified by the validation team. Once data collection began, the validation team also worked with the mapping team to increase the amount of data collected. KCTCS provided web survey sites to students and faculty across the state to increase participation. Once the data was collected the validation team worked to identify data anomalies and locations where additional data collection was required.

**Selective Surveys** – The data audits identified locations where there was insufficient data to make valid conclusions about broadband availability. The validation team used a call center to place selective surveys in the targeted areas within the state. In many cases the insufficient data was the result of the failure of vendors to provide data to the mapping vendor. The selective surveys provide validation of the availability of broadband or the absence of broadband within a specific area. This information allows the mapping vendor to concentrate their efforts to obtain the required data from the appropriate vendor. The call center efforts reached almost 10,000 new households that had not been sampled by other methods. The data indicated that 68.8% had computers, 64.7% has access to the Internet, and 56.7% has broadband access. The new data points were located in rural areas of the state and were focused on areas that had been underrepresented in prior data collection efforts.

**Reconcile Survey and Provider Data** – The mapping vendor survey data (from web surveys), the provider data, and the selective surveys done by the validation team provide an additional reconciliation of the data. While the importance of knowing where broadband is available is critical, it is just as important to know where broadband is not available. The comparison of the various data sources allow for a high confidence in identifying where broadband is available. Additionally, the data reported on the web surveys and the phone surveys identify pockets of citizens of the Commonwealth that don't have access to broadband. The validation team used the data reported by the providers, the data collected by the mapping vendor, and the validation survey data to identify areas of interest for the field data collection efforts. The focus of the field data collection efforts are areas with no reported service, areas where individuals report no availability, and areas where only mobile wireless has been reported as being available for broadband service.

**Field Test to Resolve Discrepancies** – The reported territory covered by wired broadband infrastructure is reliable. However, the reported territory covered by wireless broadband infrastructure (especially mobile wireless) is less reliable. Many factors can impact the availability of the wireless signal. We simply have to think about our cell phone usage and the frequency of dropped calls or no service availability. It is relatively easy for a

vendor to say they provide service to an entire geographic area. The validation team developed software to check on the level of mobile wireless availability and to make sure it is at broadband speeds. The validation team drove mobile devices around the state collecting signal strength and doing periodic speed test to validate the availability of broadband. The initial focus was on areas reported to have no service and areas that only have mobile broadband reported. Test data was collected to validate the data collection process and identify required equipment.

### Provider Data Validation Process

Provider Feedback Loop: Feedback received from the providers is visually inspected and integrated directly into the mapping GIS database.

Service Area Validation Data: The MBA wireline service area data is tabular and contains a separate record for each provider/technology of transmission combination with an associated census block or TIGER road segment, depending on the whether the size of the census block area ( $\leq$  or  $> 2$  sq. mi.). This data is exported into an ArcGIS data format. The American Roamer and Prior Mapping service area data is already in an ArcGIS data format. The validation data is then joined to the Provider service area data by census block or TIGER road segment ID. Any database records in the Provider or Validation tables that cannot be joined are output to a separate layer that indicates the areas of discrepancy between the two datasets. The joined tables are then queried to detect any speed discrepancies which are also output to a separate discrepancy layer.

Online Surveys, Field and Independent 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Validation Data: The Public and Targeted Business/Household survey, field and independent 3<sup>rd</sup> party validation data are also collected in tabular database format, and represent a specific lat/long spatial location for each record. This data is exported into ArcGIS data format, joined to the provider data, queried to validate pertinent attribution. Again, records not joined and or with detected attribution discrepancies are output to separate GIS layers.

Topology: The ArcGIS Validate Topology Tool is used to flag any topology issues in the broadband data. Flagged issues are reviewed to identify false positives and update true errors as required.

SBI Check Submission: The NTIA-provided SBI Check Submission tool is utilized to validate that the deliverable broadband data is consistent with the business logic rules set forth by the NTIA and a passing receipt is provided with the data submittal to NTIA.

Stakeholder Feedback: The state broadband mapping website includes a feedback function. Comments received from stakeholders are reviewed and used to validate provider data submissions.

### Validation and Confidence Level Reporting

To facilitate validation and confidence level reporting, Baker deployed a validation application called Statistical Evaluation and Assessment System (SEAS), shown in Figure 7, which automatically compares the multiple independent validation datasets against the broadband service provider's supplied information. The SEAS uses statistical methodologies to report the confidence level in the spatial and attribute accuracy of the information. Appendix B shows the validation workflow.

The SEAS comparison is a three-part validation process:

1. Comparison of the collected validation source against the aggregated broadband provider data.
2. Match percentage calculation for each provider reported in the DataPackage.xls, “Provider Table” tab, “Comments” column.
3. Confidence score calculation displayed on the state broadband website.

Figure 7 Statistical Evaluation and Assessment System (SEAS)

After completing all validation data source collections, SEAS is used to automatically compare the multiple validation datasets against the aggregated broadband data which came from the providers. Through the SEAS accumulation table, it produces a match percentage per broadband service record based upon the number of matches that record has against each validation source. The matched percentage for each record is the result of the total count of the matched validations for the record divided by the total validation source being compared against the record. A validation confidence rating/score is then assigned on a scale of 1 to 5 based upon the percentage of validation source matches as per the following score results:

- 1 Star = 0% - 19% Match
- 2 Stars = 20% - 39% Match
- 3 Stars = 40% - 59% Match
- 4 Stars = 60% - 79% Match
- 5 Stars = 80% - 100% Match
- “No Analytics” = No validation source available for that provider


The matched percentage for the records for each provider are summarized and then divided by the total count of the records to create the final matched percentage for the specific provider. These percentages are included in DataPackage.xls on the Provider Table tab in the Comments column.

### Low Confidence Provider Feedback

Provider data which is assigned a low confidence (1 or 2 stars) through the SEAS process is communicated back to the provider through a feedback loop. Generally, the low confidence feedback and reconciliation is a continuous refinement process and will occur between update cycles. The goal is to provide this feedback through the Provider Data Update Webportal via a web connection that is available and rolled out to providers in January 2012.

### Changes and Corrections Documentation

With each NTIA semiannual data submittal, changes and corrections documentation is provided. Significant changes in a provider's status or data, corrections to previously supplied data, providers supplying data for the first time, etc. are specified by Provider name in the Changes and Corrections document.




Commonwealth of Kentucky SBDD Process Workflow

October 1, 2010



State Broadband Data  
Validation Workflow



**Appendix C: Master Outreach List**

Filing Company DBA	Filing Company Name	Status
ACN Communication Services, Inc.		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
AK Internet Services	Access Kentucky Inc.	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Alltel Communications of Virginia No. 1, LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Altro TV Company Inc.		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Banana Communications, LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Bowling Cable TV		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
BroadLink		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Buffalo-Lake Erie Wireless Systems Co., L.L.C.		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
C & C TV Service		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
C & W Cable, Inc.		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Cainpro Communications		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Charter Communications		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
City of Raceland		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Community TV Inc		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Cook Inlet/VS GSM VII PCS, LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Crossroads License Holding Sub A Inc.		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Crossroads Wireless, Inc., Debtor-in-Possession		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
CSI Telecom Group Inc.	CSI Telecom Group Inc.	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller

Derby Divestiture Trust		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Direct LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
EnTelegent Solutions, Inc.		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Evarts T.V. Co. Inc.		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Franklin Electric Plant Board		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Granite Telecommunications, LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Hazard Television Co Inc	Hazard Television Co Inc	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
iNetworks Group, Inc.		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Johnny Wilcop Cable		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Kentucky Telephone Company	Kentucky Telephone Company	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Kentucky Wireless	Kentucky Wireless	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
KYWISP, LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
L & L Communications		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
MediaFLO/Qualcomm	MediaFLO/Qualcomm	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Morehead State University Campus		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Netpower, LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Network Telephone		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
NewWave Communications	NewWave Communications	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Northstar Technology, LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
NTCH, Inc.		Not a Broadband

		Provider or Reseller
Pritchtech		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Riverside Communications		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
SI Spectrum, LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Sky Blue		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
SkywayUSA	Skyway	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
South Kentucky RECC (formerly Monticello Plant Board)		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
SpeedBeam	SpeedBeam Wireless, Inc.	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Systems Solutions	Systems Solutions	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Tennessee RSA No. 3 Limited Partnership		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
US Digital Online	US Digital Online	Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Vanceburg Electric Plant Board		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Windjammer Communications LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Wirefree Partners III, LLC		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
Zayo Group		Not a Broadband Provider or Reseller
360networks		Other
ALLTEL Newco LLC		Other
Axon Access		Other
Cavalier Telephone		Other
Cellco Partnership		Other
Cincinnati Bell Extended Territories, LLC		Other
Cincinnati SMSA Limited Partnership		Other
Comcast - Southern Division		Other
Covad		Other

Communications Company		
DC Kentucky Newco, LLC		Other
FiberNet LLC		Other
Galaxy Cablevision		Other
GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated		Other
Insight Communications Midwest, LLC		Other
Juno Online Services, Inc.		Other
Kentucky Data Link		Other
Kentucky OnLine, Inc.		Other
Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership		Other
King Street Wireless		Other
MCC Telephony of the South, LLC		Other
NetZero, Inc.		Other
New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC		Other
New Par		Other
Norlight, Inc.		Other
PAETEC Communications, Inc.		Other
Powertel Memphis Licenses, Inc.		Other
SouthEast Telephone Inc.		Other
Sprintcom Inc		Other
Telecommunications Management, LLC		Other
Time Warner NY Cable LLC		Other
Vista (Mirror 2) PCS License Holding, LLC		
Vista License Holdings,		Other



L.L.C.		
W. Stephen Cannon, Management Trustee		Other
WIN Enterprises		Other
Wirelessco, L.P.		Other
Alltel Communications, LLC		Potential
Birch Communications		Potential
Blazing Speeds LLC	Fast Internet	Potential
Broadview Networks, Inc.	Broadview Networks, Inc.	Potential
Broadvox, LLC		Potential
C Spire Wireless		Potential
ClearLinc Broadband	ClearLinc Broadband	Potential
CNI Wireless, Inc.	CNI Wireless, Inc.	Potential
Cogent Communications		Potential
Community Wireless		Potential
Conterra Broadband Services (DBA Detel)		Potential
Crystal Broadband Networks	Crystal Broadband Networks	Potential
cyperMIND		Potential
DataJack		Potential
FreedomPop		Potential
Horizon Telecom		Potential
IgLou	IgLou	Potential
Integrated Networks, Inc.	Integrated Networks, Inc.	Potential
KCSMax	Kentucky Computer Service	Potential
Lightyear Network Solutions, Inc.		Potential
MetroFastNet	MetroFastNet	Potential
MST Wireless	MST Wireless	Potential
Qwest	Qwest	Potential
SCS Wireless		Potential
SignalPoint Communications		Potential
SITCO	SITCO	Potential
Tri-Star Communications, Inc	Tri-Star Communications, Inc	Potential
United Online		Potential

(NetZero/Juno)		
US Cellular		Potential
WiMAX Express	WiMAX Express	Potential
Win.net Internet	Win.net Internet	Potential
World View Resources (WVR)		Potential
XO Communications		Potential
Zing!Broadband		Potential
Zito Media	Zito Media, LP	Potential
Access Cable Television, Inc.	Access Cable Television, Inc.	Provider
ALTIUS Broadband	ALTIUS Broadband	Provider
Appalachian Wireless	East Kentucky Network, LLC	Provider
Armstrong Utilities	Armstrong Utilities	Provider
AT&T Corp, Inc.	AT&T Corp, Inc.	Provider
AT&T Kentucky	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.	Provider
AT&T Mobility LLC	AT&T Mobility LLC	Provider
Avolutia, LLC	Shelby Broadband	Provider
Ballard Telephone Cooperative	BTC	Provider
Barbourville Online	Barbourville Utility Commission	Provider
Bardstown Cable TV	City of Bardstown	Provider
BGMU	Bowling Green Municipal Utilities	Provider
Big Sandy Broadband, Inc.	Big Sandy Broadband	Provider
Blue Zoom Wifi	Blue Zoom Inc.	Provider
BluegrassNet	BluegrassNet	Provider
Bracken Cablevision	Standard Tobacco Company, Inc.	Provider
Brandenburg Telecom LLC	Brandenburg Telecom LLC	Provider
Brandenburg Telephone Company	Brandenburg Telephone Company	Provider
Broadlinc Wireless	Broadlinc Communications LLC	Provider
CBW of Kentucky	Cincinnati Bell Wireless LLC	Provider
Cincinnati Bell Telephone	Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company LLC	Provider
City of Bellefonte		Provider
City of Williamstown, Cable & internet Service	City of Williamstown, Cable & internet Service	Provider

Clear.com	Clearwire Corporation	Provider
Coalfields Telephone Company, Inc.	Gearheart Communications	Provider
Comcast	Comcast Cable Communications, LLC.	Provider
Community Telecom Services	Community Telecom Services	Provider
ConnectGRADD	Q-Wireless	Provider
ConnectLink, Inc	ConnectLink, Inc	Provider
Cricket Communications, Inc.	Leap Wireless International, Inc.	Provider
Duo County Telecom	Duo County Telephone Cooperative	Provider
Duo County Telephone Cooperative, Inc.	Duo County Telephone Cooperative	Provider
Eastern Cable Corp	Eastern Cable Corporation	Provider
Egan Technology Services	Egan Technology Services	Provider
EPBNET	Electric Plant Board of Russellville Ky	Provider
FastNet	Fastnet Wireless LLC	Provider
Foothills Broadband	Foothills Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation Inc.	Provider
Frankfort Plant Board	Frankfort Electric & Water Plant Board	Provider
Franklin Municipal FiberNET	City of Franklin	Provider
Glasgow Electric Plant Board	Glasgow Electric Plant Board	Provider
Harlan Community Television, Inc.	Harlan Community Television, Inc.	Provider
Henderson Municipal Power & Light Company	Henderson Municipal Power & Light Company	Provider
Highland Telephone Cooperative	Highland Telephone Cooperative	Provider
Hopkinsville Electric System	Electric Plant Board of the City of Hopkinsville	Provider
HughesNet	Hughes Communications, Inc.	Provider
Inside Connect Cable	Inside Connect Cable	Provider
Inter Mountain Cable, Inc	Inter Mountain Cable, Inc	Provider
Irvine Community Television, Inc.	Irvine Community Television, Inc.	Provider
Ken-Tenn Wireless,	Ken-Tenn Wireless, LLC	Provider

LLC		
Kentucky WiMAX	Kentucky WiMAX	Provider
KRCC	KRCC	Provider
Kudu Systems	Kudu Systems	Provider
KYWIFI	KYWIFI	Provider
Level 3 Communications, LLC	Level 3 Communications, LLC	Provider
Liberty Communications, Inc.	Liberty Communications, Inc.	Provider
Limestone Cablevision	Standard Tobacco Company, Inc.	Provider
LOGAN TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE, INC.	LOGAN TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE, INC.	Provider
Lumos Networks	Lumos Networks	Provider
Lycom Communications, Inc	Lycom Communications	Provider
Mediacom	Mediacom Southeast, LLC	Provider
MegaPath Corporation	MegaPath Corporation	Provider
megaWi	megaWi	Provider
MEWS	Mayfield Electric & Water	Provider
Mikrotec CATV, LLC	Mikrotec CATV, LLC	Provider
Mountain Telephone	Mountain Rural Telephone Coop. Corp., Inc.	Provider
Murray Electric Systems	Murray Electric Systems	Provider
North Central Communications	North Central Communications	Provider
OMU	OMU	Provider
OOLWireless	Q-Wireless	Provider
Open World	Open World	Provider
Princeton Electric Plant Board	Princeton Electric Plant Board	Provider
PRTC	Peoples Rural Telephone Coop. Corp., Inc.	Provider
QKY Wireless	Q-Wireless	Provider
Q-Wireless	Q-Wireless	Provider
Skycasters	Skycasters, LLC	Provider
SOUTH CENTRAL RURAL TELEPHONE	SOUTH CENTRAL RURAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE, INC.	Provider
SOUTH CENTRAL TELCOM	SOUTH CENTRAL TELCOM, LLC	Provider
Sprint	Sprint Nextel Corporation	Provider
StarBand	StarBand Communications Inc.	Provider

Communications Inc.		
Suddenlink Communications	Cebridge Acquisition, LLC	Provider
Suddenlink Communications	Cequel III Communications I, LLC	Provider
Suddenlink Communications	Cequel III Communications II, LLC	Provider
T.V. Service	T.V. Service	Provider
TDS TELECOM	LEWISPORT TELEPHONE COMPANY	Provider
TDS TELECOM	SALEM TELEPHONE COMPANY	Provider
TDS TELECOM	LESLIE COUNTY TELEPHONE COMPANY	Provider
Thacker-Grigsby Telephone	Thacker-Grigsby Telephone Company	Provider
TIME WARNER CABLE	TIME WARNER CABLE LLC	Provider
T-Mobile	T-Mobile USA, Inc.	Provider
TV Services, Inc.	Thacker-Grigsby Telephone Company	Provider
tw telecom of kentucky llc	tw telecom of kentucky llc	Provider
VCI INTERNET	RUDDATA CORPORATION	Provider
Verizon Wireless	Cellco Partnership and its Affiliated Entities	Provider
Vortex Wireless	Vortex Wireless	Provider
WildBlue Communications, Inc.	WildBlue Communications, Inc.	Provider
WildBlue Communications, Inc.	ViaSat, Inc.	Provider
Windstream Kentucky East, LLC	Windstream Kentucky East, LLC	Provider
Windstream Kentucky West, LLC	Windstream Kentucky West, LLC	Provider
WK&T Telecommunications Cooperative	West Kentucky Rural Telephone Cooperative Corp., Inc.	Provider
WWGapTel	WWGapTel	Provider
Your Telecommunications Co.	House Enterprises, Inc.	Provider
Blue One Communications, Inc.	Blue One Communications, Inc.	Provider/Reseller
Bluegrass Cellular	Bluegrass Cellular, Inc.	Provider/Reseller
Bluegrass Cellular	Kentucky RSA #3 Cellular General Partnership	Provider/Reseller

Bluegrass Cellular	Kentucky RSA #4 Cellular General Partnership	Provider/Reseller
Bluegrass Cellular	Bluegrass Wireless LLC	Provider/Reseller
Bluegrass Cellular	Cumberland Cellular Partnership	Provider/Reseller
Chapel Communications Inc.	Chapel Communications Inc.	Provider/Reseller
EarthLink, Inc.	EarthLink, Inc.	Provider/Reseller
NTELOS	West Virginia PCS Alliance, L.C.	Provider/Reseller
OCDirect	Ohio County Direct Net	Provider/Reseller
PowerNet Global Communications	PowerNet Global Communications	Provider/Reseller
QX.net	QX.net	Provider/Reseller
Frank Howard TV Cable	Frank Howard TV Cable	Reseller
TOAST.net	TOAST.net	Reseller